arXiv:1111.0682v2 [math.RT] 16 Nov 2011

Modular Invariance for Twisted Modules over a Vertex Operator Superalgebra

Jethro Van Ekeren*

Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Zhu's theorem about characters of modules over a vertex operator algebra to the setting of a vertex operator superalgebra whose vectors may have rational, rather than integer, conformal weights. It turns out that to recover $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariance of the characters, it is necessary to include twisted modules into the discussion. Another new feature arises in the super-case; the space of conformal blocks is no longer spanned by the trace functions of Zhu [14], the twisted trace functions of Dong, Li and Mason [3], and their super-analogues. Some 'nonstandard' supertrace functions must be included. We prove that the space of supertrace functions, thus supplemented, spans a finite dimensional $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant space. We close the paper with several examples.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra and let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding affine Kac-Moody algebra. In [10] Kac and Peterson expressed the (normalized) characters of the integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules in terms of Jacobi theta functions. In particular they showed that the normalized characters of the integrable modules at a fixed level $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ span an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant vector space. Later the monstrous moonshine conjecture, linking the monster finite simple group with the modular j-function, was resolved by Borcherds using vertex operator algebras and generalized Kac-Moody algebras [1], see also [6]. In a far reaching generalization of both works, Zhu [14] proved that the characters of a C_2-cofinite rational vertex operator algebra with integer conformal weights span an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant vector space.

Recall that a vertex operator algebra (VOA) consists of a vector space V, two distinguished vectors $|0\rangle$ and ω (called the vacuum and Virasoro vector, respectively), and an assignment to each vector $u \in V$ of a 'field' $Y(u,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$ where $u_{(n)} \in \operatorname{End} V$. These data are to satisfy certain axioms, see Definition 2.1. By definition V is C_2-cofinite if $V_{(-2)}V$ has finite codimension in V.

---

*email: jethro@math.mit.edu

If $Y(\omega, z) = L(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$ then the operators L_n form a representation on V of the Virasoro algebra with some central charge $\mathfrak{c}$. The energy operator L_0 acts semisimply. The eigenvalue of an L_0-eigenvector $u \in V$ is called its conformal weight, it is denoted Δ_u, and we write V_k for the subspace of vectors of conformal weight k.

A module over a VOA is a vector space M together with a field

$$Y^M(u, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u^M_{(n)} z^{-n-1} = \sum_{n\in-\Delta_u+\mathbb{Z}} u^M_n z^{-n-\Delta_u} \tag{1.1}$$

assigned to each $u \in V$, satisfying certain axioms, see Definition 2.2. Of particular importance for us are the positive energy modules; these are $\mathbb{R}_+$-graded modules $M = \oplus_j M_j$ where the graded pieces M_j are finite dimensional, and for each $u \in V$, $n \in -\Delta_u + \mathbb{Z}$ we have $u^M_n M_j \subseteq M_{j-n}$. We say that V is rational if it has finitely many irreducible positive energy modules and every positive energy V-module is a direct sum of irreducible ones.

Zhu introduced a second VOA structure $Y[u, z] = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u_{([n])} z^{-n-1}$ on a given VOA V, and a new Virasoro element $\tilde{\omega} \in V$, see Definition 2.3. Let $L_{[0]}$ be the new energy operator and $V_{[k]}$ the subspace of vectors with the $L_{[0]}$-eigenvalue k.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the complex upper half plane and $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{H})$ the vector space of holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{H}$. We set $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ where $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Recall the weight k action of the modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{H})$ given by

$$[f \cdot A](\tau) = (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-k} f\left(\frac{\mathsf{a}\tau + \mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d}}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b}\\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d}\end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1.1 (Zhu)**.** *Let V be a C_2-cofinite rational VOA such that all the conformal weights of V are integers. Let $u \in V_{[k]}$ and let M be an irreducible positive energy V-module. The trace function*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M\, u^M_0 q^{L_0-\mathfrak{c}/24}$$

converges to a holomorphic function $S_M(u, \tau)$ of $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(u)$ be the span of $S_M(u, \tau)$, as M runs over the set of irreducible positive energy V-modules. Then $\mathcal{C}(u)$ is finite dimensional and invariant under the weight k $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action (1.2).

There are many interesting cases not covered by Zhu's theorem. First there are supersymmetric analogues called vertex operator superalgebras (VOSAs) which are of great importance. Second the restriction to integer conformal weights rules out many examples with interesting modularity properties. There are, for example, the affine Kac-Moody VOAs $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ at admissible level k with modified Virasoro vector [4], and the affine W-algebras $W_k(\mathfrak{g}; f)$ associated to odd nilpotent elements $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ [2] (where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra). Even the very simple 'charged free fermions' VOSA, which we consider in detail in Section 11, has many Virasoro fields that equip it with non integer conformal weights.

The present paper generalizes Theorem 1.1 to the case of a VOSA graded by rational conformal weights.

To obtain an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant family of functions from a VOA or VOSA with non integer conformal weights, we must be prepared to consider V-modules that are 'g-twisted' for some automorphisms g of V. A g-twisted V-module is a vector (super)space M with fields $Y^M(u,z) = \sum_n u_n^M z^{-n-\Delta_u}$ where the sum is over $n \in \epsilon_u + \mathbb{Z}$ (instead of $-\Delta_u + \mathbb{Z}$) for some real number ϵ_u depending on u and g. See Definition 2.2 for the precise definition of g-twisted module, and the subsequent comments for an explanation of why the twisted theory is unavoidable. Positive energy g-twisted modules are defined as usual. We say that V is g-rational if the usual definition of rationality holds with 'module' replaced by 'g-twisted module'.

A twisted version of Theorem 1.1 was proved by Dong, Li, and Mason [3]. Like Zhu, they worked with a VOA V graded by integer conformal weights. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V and let $g, h \in G$ commute. Suppose M is a g-twisted V-module. We may give the vector space M a different g-twisted V-module structure (denoted $h \cdot M$) by setting $Y^{h\cdot M}(u,z) = Y^M(h(u),z)$ (we need g and h to commute for this to be a g-twisted module). Suppose $h \cdot M$ is equivalent to M, i.e., there exists an isomorphism $\gamma : M \to M$ such that

$$h(u)_n^M = \gamma^{-1} u_n^M \gamma \quad \text{for all } u \in V \text{ and } n \in \epsilon_u + \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.3}$$

Such M we call h-invariant. For brevity we let $P(g,V)$ denote the set of irreducible g-twisted positive energy V-modules and $P_h(g,V)$ the subset of h-invariant modules.

Theorem 1.2 (Dong, Li, and Mason)**.** *Let V be a C_2-cofinite VOA such that all the conformal weights of V are integers. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V and suppose V is g-rational for each $g \in G$. Let $u \in V_{[k]}$, let $g, h \in G$ commute and let $M \in P_h(g,V)$. The trace function*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M\, u_0^M \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathsf{c}/24}$$

converges to a holomorphic function $S_M(u,\tau)$ of $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(g,h;u)$ be the span of $S_M(u,\tau)$, as M runs over $P_h(g,V)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(g,h;u)$ is finite dimensional and we have

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b} \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right) : \mathcal{C}(g,h;u) \to \mathcal{C}(g^{\mathsf{a}} h^{\mathsf{c}}, g^{\mathsf{b}} h^{\mathsf{d}}; u)$$

under the weight k action (1.2) of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

A symmetric function on an associative algebra A is a linear map $f : A \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(ab) = f(ba)$ for all $a, b \in A$. The Wedderburn theorem states that a finite dimensional simple associative algebra is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $n \geq 1$ (for us all algebras are over $\mathbb{C}$). It is well known that all symmetric functions on $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are scalar multiples of the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$.

Now let A be an associative superalgebra (definitions and notation about superalgebras are given in Section 2). A supersymmetric function on A is a linear map $f : A \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(ab) = p(a,b)f(ba)$ for all $a, b \in A$. Finite dimensional simple associative superalgebras are of the following two types: $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{m|k})$ for $m + k \geq 1$, and $Q_n = \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)[\xi]/(\xi^2 = 1)$ where ξ is an odd indeterminate. In this paper we refer to these as Type I and Type II superalgebras, respectively. Supersymmetric functions on $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{m|k})$ are scalar multiples of the supertrace $\mathrm{STr}_{\mathbb{C}^{m|k}}$. Supersymmetric functions on Q_n are scalar multiples of $\varphi : a \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(a\xi)$ [8].

Since our main theorem concerns VOSAs, we need to associate supersymmetric generalizations of trace functions (called supertrace functions) to VOSA modules. But, like the supersymmetric functions above, supertrace functions come in different types depending on the type of module (see formula (1.4) below).

Let V be a C_2-cofinite VOSA and G a finite group of automorphisms of V. Assume V is graded by rational but not necessarily integer conformal weights. Fix commuting $g, h \in G$. In [2] De Sole and Kac defined the unital associative superalgebra $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$, generalizing constructions of Zhu and of Dong, Li and Mason. There are inverse functorial bijections, Ω from $P(g, V)$ to the set of irreducible $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$-modules, and L going the other way. See Section 6 for more information.

If V is g-rational then $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ is finite dimensional and semisimple. In the main theorem below we assume the latter condition rather than the former. We do so because the latter condition is sufficient, and it is often much easier to check in practice than the former one. In fact, in Proposition 2.17(c) of [2] it is shown that C_2-cofiniteness of V implies $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ is finite dimensional. So in the main theorem below we assume V is C_2-cofinite and $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ is semisimple for each $g \in G$.

The automorphism h of V descends to an automorphism of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ which we also denote h. The simple components of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ are permuted by h. Let A be a h-invariant simple component, let N be its unique irreducible module, and put $M = L(N)$. We have $M \in P_h(g, V)$ and all V-modules in $P_h(g, V)$ are obtained in this way from h-invariant simple components of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$.

The supertrace function we associate to M is

$$S_M(u, q) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{STr}_M\, u_0^M \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} & \text{if } A \text{ is of } \mathsf{Type\ I}\text{, or if } A \text{ is of } \mathsf{Type\ II} \text{ and } h(\xi) = -\xi, \\ \mathrm{Tr}_M\, u_0^M \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} & \text{if } A \text{ is of } \mathsf{Type\ II} \text{ and } h(\xi) = \xi. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\gamma : M \to M$ is an isomorphism satisfying (1.3). In Sections 7 and 8 we explain how this definition arises and we give an explicit description of γ in each of the cases.

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.3 (Main Theorem)**.** *Let V be a C_2-cofinite VOSA graded by rational conformal weights. Suppose G is a finite group of automorphisms of V and that $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ is semisimple for each $g \in G$. Let $u \in V_{[k]}$, let $g, h \in G$ commute and let $M \in P_h(g, V)$. The supertrace function (1.4) converges to a holomorphic function $S_M(u, \tau)$ of $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(g, h; u)$ be the span of $S_M(u, \tau)$, as M runs over $P_h(g, V)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(g, h; u)$ is finite dimensional and we have*

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b} \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right) : \mathcal{C}(g, h; u) \to \mathcal{C}(g^{\mathsf{a}} h^{\mathsf{c}}, g^{\mathsf{b}} h^{\mathsf{d}}; u) \tag{1.5}$$

under the weight k action (1.2) of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

The definition of the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action involves $(\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-k}$ for $k \notin \mathbb{Z}$. We define the latter as a principal value, see Section 4. For $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b} \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ let $\gamma_A(\tau) = \mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d}$. We have

$$\gamma_B(\tau)^{-k} \gamma_A(B\tau)^{-k} \propto \gamma_{AB}(\tau)^{-k}$$

for all $A, B \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, where the constant of proportionality is a root of unity. There is equality for all A, B only when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore equation (1.2) defines a projective representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{C}(u) = \oplus_{g,h\in G}\mathcal{C}(g, h; u)$. It is a true representation when the conformal weight of u in an integer.

Let V be a VOSA with even elements of integer conformal weight and odd elements of half odd integer conformal weight. Let $G = \{1, \sigma_V\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where $\sigma_V(u) = (-1)^{p(u)}$ is the usual superspace involution on V. Consider Theorem 1.3 applied to the vacuum vector $u = |0\rangle$. In Section 8 we give an explicit description of γ. In the case of a $\mathsf{Type\ II}$ module with $h(\xi) = \xi$, γ is odd and so the supertrace function vanishes on $|0\rangle$. In both other cases we may take $\gamma = \sigma_M$ if $h = \sigma_V$ and $\gamma = 1$ if $h = 1$. Clearly $\mathrm{STr}_M\, \sigma_M q^{L_0-\mathfrak{c}/24} = \mathrm{Tr}_M\, q^{L_0-\mathfrak{c}/24}$. Finally, for both $h = 1$ and $h = \sigma_V$, all V-modules are h-invariant. So we conclude:

- The supercharacters $\mathrm{STr}_M\, q^{L_0-\mathfrak{c}/24}$ span an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant family, where M runs over $P(1, V)$.
- The characters $\mathrm{Tr}_M\, q^{L_0-\mathfrak{c}/24}$ and the supercharacters $\mathrm{STr}_M\, q^{L_0-\mathfrak{c}/24}$ together span an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant family, where M runs over $P(1, V) \cup P(\sigma_V, V)$.

The 1-twisted modules are often referred to as 'Ramond twisted' modules, and σ_V-twisted modules as 'Neveu-Schwartz twisted' modules. This picture applies to the VOSA V_Q associated to a positive definite integral lattice Q. We consider it in detail in Section 12.

If V is purely even then $\mathsf{Type\ II}$ components of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ do not arise at all and Theorem 1.3 implies that $\mathcal{C}(g, h; u)$ is spanned by ordinary trace functions. In [5] Dong and Zhao considered VOSAs with even elements of integer conformal weight and odd elements of half odd integer conformal weight. For them nonstandard supertrace functions did not arise, but this is because they allowed non $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded submodules. In contrast we work entirely in the category of vector superspaces, i.e., for us 'subspace' means '$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded subspace'.

Now we summarize the layout of the paper. In Section 2 we give basic definitions pertaining to VOSAs and their modules. In Section 3 we collect some modular forms identities. In Section 4 we define a certain space $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$ of maps $V \to \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{H})$ called *conformal blocks*, and we determine how the conformal blocks transform under $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

The C_2-cofiniteness condition on V implies that for $S \in \mathcal{C}(g, h)$ and fixed $u \in V$, the function $S(u, \tau)$ satisfies a Fuchsian differential equation. Moreover there is a *Frobenius expansion* of S in powers of q and $\log q$ whose coefficients are linear maps $V \to \mathbb{C}$. We sketch the proofs in Section 5, referring to [3] for details.

In Section 6 we analyze the leading coefficients in the Frobenius expansion of a conformal block. These coefficients descend to linear maps $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V) \to \mathbb{C}$. We establish that these maps are h-supersymmetric functions on $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ (see Section 7 for the definition). In Sections 7 and 8 we construct a basis of h-supersymmetric functions on $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ and extend each one to a supertrace function on V, arriving at the definition in equation (1.4) above.

We then prove that the $S_M(u, \tau)$ lie in $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$. Finally in Section 9 we prove that the $S_M(u, \tau)$ span $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$. The proof closely follows that in [14]. Theorem 1.3 is obtained by combining this result with the modular transformation property of $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$ proved in Section 4.

In Section 10 we consider the neutral free fermion VOSA $V = F(\varphi)$ and we take $G = \{1, \sigma_V\}$. We explicitly compute conformal blocks $\mathcal{C}(g, h; u)$ for $u = |0\rangle$ and $u = \varphi$ the weight 1/2 vector.

In weight 1/2 the nonstandard supertrace function makes an appearance; the corresponding space of conformal blocks is one dimensional and is spanned by the Dedekind eta function $\eta(\tau)$.

In Section 11 we study the charged free fermions VOSA $V = F^a_{\text{ch}}(\psi, \psi^*)$ which is equipped with a Virasoro field $L^a(z)$ depending on the real parameter a. We consider an infinite abelian group $G \cong S^1$ of automorphisms of V. For each $g, h \in G$ we write down the supertrace of h over the unique irreducible g-twisted positive energy V-module, and we compute transformations of these functions under $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. For g, h of finite order $\mathcal{C}(g, h; |0\rangle)$ makes sense and is spanned by this supertrace function. If $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ then Theorem 1.3 may be applied and it agrees with the explicit modular transformations we derive.

In Section 12 we consider the VOSA V_Q associated to a positive definite integral (not necessarily even) lattice Q. As in the first example we let $G = \{1, \sigma_V\}$. We explicitly describe the spaces $\mathcal{C}(g, h; |0\rangle)$.

I would like to thank my Ph.D. advisor Victor Kac for many useful suggestions and discussions.

2 Basic definitions

We use the notation $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. All vector spaces and superspaces are over $\mathbb{C}$.

A vector *superspace* U is a vector space graded by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$. We call $U_{\bar{0}}$ and $U_{\bar{1}}$ the even and odd components of U respectively. A subspace of a vector superspace is always $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded. We use the following notations: $p(u) = \alpha$ for homogeneous $u \in U_\alpha$, $\sigma_U(u) = (-1)^{p(u)}$, and $p(u, v) = (-1)^{p(u)p(v)}$.

An *associative superalgebra* is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded associative algebra. For example $\operatorname{End} U$ is an associative superalgebra where $(\operatorname{End} U)_\alpha = \{X \in \operatorname{End} U | X U_\beta \subseteq U_{\alpha+\beta}\}$. The commutator of operators X and Y on a vector superspace is defined to be $[X, Y] = XY - p(X, Y)YX$. A module over an associative superalgebra A is a vector superspace M and a homomorphism $A \to \operatorname{End} M$. Two A-modules are equivalent if there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded linear isomorphism between them intertwining with the action of A. We will assume such equivalences to be even unless otherwise stated.

We write $\mathbb{C}^{m|k}$ for the vector superspace with a basis consisting of m even vectors and k odd vectors. The *supertrace* of an operator $X \in \operatorname{End} U$ is $\operatorname{STr}_U X = \operatorname{Tr}_{U_{\bar{0}}} X - \operatorname{Tr}_{U_{\bar{1}}} X$. In general $\operatorname{STr}_U [X, Y] = 0$.

We write $U[z]$ for the ring of polynomials in z with coefficients in U, $U[[z]]$ for the ring of formal power series, and $U((z))$ for the ring of Laurent series, i.e., expressions $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ in which finitely many negative powers of z occur. The space of formal distributions $U[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ is the set of expressions $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ with no restriction on the coefficients a_n. Finally $U\{\{z\}\} = \oplus_{r \in \mathbb{R}} z^r U[[z^{\pm 1}]]$. Extension to several variables is straightforward, but note that $U((z))((w)) \neq U((w))((z))$.

We write $\partial_z f(z)$ for the z-derivative of $f(z)$, also $z^{(n)}$ for $z^n/n!$, and $[z^n] : f(z)$ for f_n the z^n coefficient of $f(z)$.

A convenient index convention for formal distributions is $f(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$. The *formal residue* operation $\operatorname{Res}_z(\cdot)dz : U[[z^{\pm 1}]] \to U$ is defined by $\operatorname{Res}_z f(z)dz = [z^{-1}] : f(z) = f_{(0)}$. We have

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \partial_z f(z)dz = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Res}_z f(z)\partial_z g(z)dz = -\operatorname{Res}_z g(z)\partial_z f(z)dz.$$

Let $f(z) \in U((z))$ and $g(w) \in w\mathbb{C}^\times + w^2\mathbb{C}[[w]]$. The substitution of $z = g(w)$ into $f(z)$ gives a well-defined element $f(g(w)) \in U((w))$, and we have the formal change of variable formula

$$\operatorname{Res}_z f(z)dz = \operatorname{Res}_w f(g(w))\partial_w g(w)dw.$$

The *formal delta function* $\delta(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}]]$ is defined by

$$\delta(z, w) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n w^{-n-1}.$$

The operators

$$\begin{aligned} & i_{z,w} : U[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}, (z-w)^{\pm 1}] \to U((z))((w)) \\ \text{and} \quad & i_{w,z} : U[z^{\pm 1}, w^{\pm 1}, (z-w)^{\pm 1}] \to U((w))((z)) \end{aligned}$$

denote expansion of an element as Laurent series in the domains $|z| > |w|$ and $|w| > |z|$, respectively. For example,

$$i_{z,w}(z-w)^{-1} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} z^{-j-1}w^j \quad \text{and} \quad i_{w,z}(z-w)^{-1} = -\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} z^j w^{-j-1}.$$

An $\operatorname{End} U$-valued formal distribution $f(z)$ is called a *quantum field* if $f(z)u \in U((z))$ for each $u \in U$.

Definition 2.1. A *vertex operator superalgebra (VOSA)* is a quadruple $(V, |0\rangle, \omega, Y)$ where V is a vector superspace, $|0\rangle$ and ω are even elements of V called the *vacuum vector* and the *Virasoro vector* respectively, and $Y : V \to (\operatorname{End} V)[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ is an injective linear map such that $Y(u, z)$ is a quantum field for each $u \in V$. The map Y is called the *state-field correspondence*, and is written

$$Y(u, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u_{(n)} z^{-n-1}.$$

The operators $u_{(n)}$ are called the *Fourier modes* of u, and the operation $\cdot_{(n)}\cdot : V \otimes V \to V$ is called the n^{th} *product*. The following axioms are to be satisfied.

- $Y(|0\rangle, z) = I_V$.
- For all $u, v \in V$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} Y(u_{(n+j)}v, w)\partial_w^{(j)}\delta(z, w) \\ &\qquad = Y(u, z)Y(v, w)i_{z,w}(z-w)^n - p(u, v)Y(v, w)Y(u, z)i_{w,z}(z-w)^n. \end{aligned}$$

- If $Y(\omega, z) = L(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$ then the operators L_n satisfy the commutation relations of the Virasoro algebra:

  $$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m,-n}\frac{m^3-m}{12}\mathfrak{c},$$

  where $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the *central charge* of V.

- L_0 is diagonalizable on V with rational eigenvalues, and the eigenspaces are finite dimensional. The L_0-eigenvalue of an eigenvector $u \in V$ is called the *conformal weight* Δ_u of u. Also $\Delta_{|0\rangle} = 0$ and $\Delta_\omega = 2$.
- The eigenvalues of L_0 on V are bounded below.
- $Y(L_{-1}u, z) = \partial_z Y(u, z)$ for all $u \in V$.

Let $V_k = \{u \in V | \Delta_u = k\}$. A convenient indexing of the modes, called the conformal weight indexing, is defined by $u_n = u_{(n+\Delta_u-1)}$ (for u of homogeneous conformal weight, then extended to all $u \in V$ linearly). Hence

$$Y(u, z) = \sum_{n\in-[\Delta_u]} u_n z^{-n-\Delta_u},$$

where here and below $[\alpha]$ denotes the coset $\alpha + \mathbb{Z}$ of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$.

The second axiom of Definition 2.1 is called the *Borcherds identity.* Expressed in terms of modes it becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} &\binom{m+\Delta_u-1}{j}(u_{(n+j)}v)_{m+k}x \\ &= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}(-1)^j\binom{n}{j}\left[u_{m+n-j}v_{k+j-n} - p(u,v)(-1)^n v_{k-j}u_{m+j}\right]x\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $u, v, x \in V$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in -[\Delta_u]$, and $k \in -[\Delta_v]$.

A useful special case of the Borcherds identity is the *commutator formula*

$$[u_m, v_k] = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\binom{m+\Delta_u-1}{j}(u_{(j)}v)_{m+k}, \tag{2.2}$$

obtained by setting $n = 0$ in (2.1). The commutator formula together with the final VOSA axiom implies that $[L_0, u_k] = -ku_k$ for all $u \in V$.

A homomorphism $\phi : V_1 \to V_2$ of VOSAs is an even linear map such that $\phi(|0\rangle_1) = |0\rangle_2$, $\phi(\omega_1) = \omega_2$, and $\phi(u_{(n)}v) = \phi(u)_{(n)}\phi(v)$ for all $u, v \in V_1$. Homomorphisms preserve conformal weight. Isomorphism and automorphism are defined in the obvious way.

Definition 2.2. Let V be a VOSA and g an automorphism of V. Let $\mu(u)$ denote the g-eigenvalue of an eigenvector $u \in V$. Pull $\mu(u)$ back to a coset $[\epsilon_u]$ in $\mathbb{R}$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ via the map $e^{2\pi ix} : \mathbb{R} \to S^1$ (also define ϵ_u to be the largest non positive element of $[\epsilon_u]$).

A *g-twisted V-module* is a vector superspace M together with a state-field correspondence $Y^M : V \to (\operatorname{End} M)\{\{z\}\}$,

$$Y^M(u,z) = \sum_{n\in[\epsilon_u]} u^M_n z^{-n-\Delta_u} = \sum_{n\in[\epsilon_u]+[\Delta_u]} u^M_{(n)} z^{-n-1},$$

satisfying the quantum field property. The following axioms are to be satisfied.

- $Y^M(|0\rangle, z) = I_M$.
- For all $u, v \in V$, $x \in M$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in [\epsilon_u]$, and $k \in [\epsilon_v]$,

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{m+\Delta_u-1}{j} (u_{(n+j)}v)^M_{m+k} x$$
$$= \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \left[u^M_{m+n-j} v^M_{k+j-n} - p(u,v)(-1)^n v^M_{k-j} u^M_{m+j} \right] x.$$

A *positive energy g-twisted V-module* is a g-twisted V-module M such that

- $M = \oplus_{j\in\mathbb{R}_+} M_j$ is $\mathbb{R}_+$-graded with $M_0 \neq 0$, and each graded piece is finite dimensional.
- $u^M_n M_j \subseteq M_{j-n}$ for all $u \in V$, $n \in [\epsilon_u]$, $j \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

There is a 'dangerous bend' in this definition. If $u \in V$ has conformal weight $\Delta_u \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then the modes u_n acting on V are indexed by $n \in -[\Delta_u]$, so V with its adjoint action is an $e^{-2\pi i L_0}$-twisted V-module, *not* a 1-twisted V-module as one might expect. In a sense this issue is purely notational, and we could change notation so as to have V be a 1-twisted V-module. We use Definition 2.2 because it is most natural in relation to the modular transformations of conformal blocks, equation (1.5). Our definition coincides with the usual one when all conformal weights of V are integers.

Definition 2.3. The *Zhu VOSA structure* is $(V, |0\rangle, \tilde{\omega}, Y[u,z])$ where

$$\tilde{\omega} = (2\pi i)^2(\omega - \tfrac{\mathfrak{c}}{24}|0\rangle)$$

and

$$Y[u,z] = e^{2\pi i \Delta_u z} Y(u, e^{2\pi i z} - 1).$$

If we write $L[z] = Y[\tilde{\omega}, z] = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{[n]} z^{-n-2}$ then

$$L_{[-2]} = (2\pi i)^2(L_{-2} - \mathfrak{c}/24), \quad L_{[-1]} = 2\pi i(L_{-1} + L_0), \quad \text{and} \quad L_{[0]} = L_0 - \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{(-1)^j}{j(j+1)} L_j.$$

The eigenvalue ∇_u of an eigenvector u with respect to $L_{[0]}$ is called the *Zhu weight* of u. We write $V_{[k]} = \{u \in V | \nabla_u = k\}$ and

$$Y[u,z] = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u_{([n])} z^{-n-1} = \sum_{n\in-[\nabla_u]} u_{[n]} z^{-n-\nabla_u}.$$

Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned}u_{([n])}v &= \mathrm{Res}_z\, z^n e^{2\pi i\Delta_u z} Y(u, e^{2\pi iz}-1)v dz \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-n-1}\,\mathrm{Res}_w [\ln(1+w)]^n (1+w)^{\Delta_u -1} Y(u,w)v dw,\end{aligned}$$

where $w = e^{2\pi iz}-1$. An automorphism of the new VOSA structure is the same as an automorphism of the old one. Vectors of homogeneous conformal weight are not generally of homogeneous Zhu weight and vice versa.

We use the following notation below: V is a VOSA, G a finite group of automorphisms of V, and $g, h \in G$ two commuting automorphisms. Unless otherwise stated an element of V is a simultaneous eigenvector of g and h. For such an eigenvector u we write $\mu(u)$ and $\lambda(u)$ for its g- and h-eigenvalues respectively.

We define a right action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $G \times G$ by $(g,h)\cdot A = (g^{\mathsf{a}}h^{\mathsf{c}}, g^{\mathsf{b}}h^{\mathsf{d}})$ where $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b}\\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d}\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Similarly $(\mu,\lambda)\cdot A = (\mu^{\mathsf{a}}\lambda^{\mathsf{c}}, \mu^{\mathsf{b}}\lambda^{\mathsf{d}})$. We use the standard notation $A\tau$ for $\frac{\mathsf{a}\tau+\mathsf{b}}{\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d}}$.

3 Modular Forms

In this section we recall some functions that appear in connection with modular forms and elliptic curves. Consider the ill-defined expression

$$2\pi i {\sum_{n\in[\epsilon]}}' \frac{e^{2\pi inz}}{1-\lambda q^n},$$

where λ is a root of unity and $[\epsilon]$ is a coset of $\mathbb{Q}$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ (also fix $\epsilon \in [\epsilon]$ such that $-1 < \epsilon \leq 0$, and let $\mu = e^{2\pi i\epsilon}$). By $\sum'$ we mean the summation over all nonsingular terms, i.e., if $[\epsilon] = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda = 1$ then $n = 0$ is to be excluded from the sum.

To make sense of the sum we first rewrite it as

$$\frac{2\pi i\delta}{1-\lambda} + 2\pi i \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} \frac{e^{2\pi inz}}{1-\lambda q^n} - 2\pi i \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} \frac{\lambda^{-1}e^{2\pi inz}q^{-n}}{1-\lambda^{-1}q^{-n}},$$

where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } [\epsilon] = \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \lambda \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then we expand in non-negative powers of q to get

$$\frac{2\pi i\delta}{1-\lambda} + 2\pi i \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} e^{2\pi inz} + 2\pi i \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left[\sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} e^{2\pi inz}(\lambda q^n)^m - \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} e^{2\pi inz}(\lambda^{-1}q^{-n})^m \right].$$

This is still not well-defined, because of the second term. Let us re-sum the second term using the geometric series formula. We arrive at the following formula, which we regard as a definition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{\mu,\lambda}(z,q) = {} & \frac{2\pi i\delta}{1-\lambda} - 2\pi i \frac{e^{2\pi i(1+\epsilon)z}}{e^{2\pi iz}-1} \\
& + 2\pi i \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left[\sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} e^{2\pi inz}(\lambda q^n)^m - \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} e^{2\pi inz}(\lambda^{-1}q^{-n})^m \right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

Let us write

$$
P^{\mu,\lambda}(z,q) = -z^{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(q) z^k.
$$

The *Bernoulli polynomials* $B_n(\gamma)$ are defined by

$$
\frac{e^{\gamma z}}{e^z-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1}}{n!} B_n(\gamma).
$$

For example $B_0(\gamma) = 1$, $B_1(\gamma) = \gamma - 1/2$, $B_2(\gamma) = \gamma^2 - \gamma + 1/6$, etc. The *Bernoulli numbers* are $B_n = B_n(1)$. Using the definition of the Bernoulli polynomials and the series expansion of $e^{2\pi inz}$ we directly obtain the following.

Lemma 3.1. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(q) = {} & \delta_{k,0}\frac{2\pi i\delta}{1-\lambda} - \frac{(2\pi i)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} B_{k+1}(1+\epsilon) \\
& + \frac{(2\pi i)^{k+1}}{k!} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \left[\sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} n^k(\lambda q^n)^m - \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} n^k(\lambda^{-1}q^{-n})^m \right].
\end{aligned}
$$

We now record the modular transformation properties of the functions $P_k^{\mu,\lambda}$. For $(\mu,\lambda) \neq (1,1)$, our functions are essentially the same as the Q-functions of [3]. Indeed for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$
P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(q) = (2\pi i)^{k+1} Q_{k+1}(\mu,\lambda,q) \quad \text{when } (\mu,\lambda) \neq (1,1).
$$

Section 4 of [3], in particular Theorem 4.6, tells us that $P_k^{\mu,\lambda}$, when summed in order of increasing powers of q, converges to a holomorphic function of $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore

$$
P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(A\tau) = (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{k+1} P_k^{(\mu,\lambda)\cdot A}(\tau). \tag{3.2}
$$

Since μ and λ are roots of unity, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\mu^N = \lambda^N = 1$. Therefore $P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(A\tau) = (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{k+1} P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(\tau)$ whenever $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathsf{a} & \mathsf{b} \\ \mathsf{c} & \mathsf{d} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ satisfies $\mathsf{a} \equiv \mathsf{d} \equiv 1 \pmod N$ and $\mathsf{b} \equiv \mathsf{c} \equiv 0 \pmod N$, i.e., if $A \in \Gamma_0(N)$. Hence $P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(\tau)$ is a holomorphic modular form on $\Gamma_0(N)$ of weight $k+1$.

Now we consider the case $(\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1)$. Comparing the formula of Lemma 3.1 with the Eisenstein series

$$G_k(\tau) = 2\zeta(k) + \frac{2(2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \quad (\text{for } k \geq 2)$$

shows that $P_k^{1,1}(q) = G_{k+1}(\tau)$ for $k \geq 1$. We also have $P_0^{1,1}(q) = -\pi i$. Therefore equation (3.2) holds when $(\mu, \lambda) = (1, 1)$ and $k \geq 2$. It is well-known that $G_2(q)$ is not a modular form, but instead satisfies

$$G_2(A\tau) = (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^2 G_2(\tau) - 2\pi i \mathsf{c}(\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d}).$$

The function $P^{1,1}(z, q)$ (which we abbreviate to $P(z, q)$ below) is closely related to the classical Weierstrass zeta function

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(z, \tau) &= z^{-1} + \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \left[\frac{1}{z - m\tau - n} + \frac{1}{m\tau + n} + \frac{z}{(m\tau + n)^2} \right] \\ &= z^{-1} - \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} z^{k-1} G_k(\tau),\end{aligned}$$

(the latter is the Laurent expansion about $z = 0$). We have

$$\zeta(z, \tau) = -P(z, q) + zG_2(q) - \pi i.$$

The Weierstrass elliptic function is $\wp(z, \tau) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\zeta(z, \tau)$, so we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} P(z, q) = \wp(z, q) + G_2(q). \tag{3.3}$$

The Dedekind eta function is defined, for $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, to be

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n). \tag{3.4}$$

From [11] p. 253 we have the following.

Proposition 3.2.

$$\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\pi i/12} \eta(\tau) \quad \textit{and} \quad \eta(\tfrac{-1}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^{1/2} \eta(\tau).$$

The Jacobi theta function is defined, for $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, to be

$$\theta(z; \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z}. \tag{3.5}$$

From [13], p. 475 we have the following.

Proposition 3.3.

$$\theta(\tfrac{z}{\tau}; \tfrac{-1}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^{1/2} e^{\pi i z^2/\tau} \theta(z; \tau) \quad \textit{and} \quad \theta(z; \tau + 1) = \theta(z + \tfrac{1}{2}; \tau).$$

4 Conformal Blocks

Let $\mathcal{M}_N$ be the vector space of holomorphic modular forms on $\Gamma_0(N)$, i.e., the vector space of holomorphic functions $f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

- $f(A\tau) = f(\tau)$ for all $A \in \Gamma_0(N)$.
- $f(A\tau)$ is meromorphic at $\tau = i\infty$ for all $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{M}_{|G|} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$. Define $\mathcal{O}(g,h)$ to be the $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$-submodule of $\mathcal{V}$ generated by

$$\begin{cases} X_1(u,v) = \operatorname{Res}_z Y[u,z]v dz = u_{([0])}v & \text{for } (\mu(u),\lambda(u)) = (1,1), \\ X_2(u,v) = \operatorname{Res}_z \wp(z,q)Y[u,z]v dz & \text{for } (\mu(u),\lambda(u)) = (1,1), \\ u & \text{for } (\mu(u),\lambda(u)) \neq (1,1), \\ X_3^{g,h}(u,v) = \operatorname{Res}_z P^{\mu(u),\lambda(u)}(z,q)Y[u,z]v dz & \text{for } (\mu(u),\lambda(u)) \neq (1,1). \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.2. The space $\mathcal{C}(g,h)$ of *conformal blocks* is the space of functions $S : \mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

CB1 $S(x+y,\tau) = S(x,\tau) + S(y,\tau)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$, and $S(f(\tau)u,\tau) = f(\tau)S(u,\tau)$ for all $f(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{|G|}$, $u \in V$.

CB2 $S(u,\tau)$ is holomorphic in τ for each $u \in V$.

CB3 $S(x,\tau) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}(g,h)$.

CB4 For all $u \in V$ such that $(\mu(u),\lambda(u)) = (1,1)$,

$$\left[(2\pi i)^2 q\frac{d}{dq} + \nabla_u G_2(q)\right] S(u,\tau) = S(\operatorname{Res}_z \zeta(z,q)L[z]u dz,\tau). \tag{4.1}$$

An equivalent form of (4.1) is

$$(2\pi i)^2 q\frac{d}{dq}S(u,\tau) = -S(\operatorname{Res}_z P(z,q)L[z]u dz,\tau). \tag{4.2}$$

4.1 Modular transformations of conformal blocks

Let K be a positive integer such that $1/K$ divides the conformal weight of each vector in V (the C_2-cofiniteness condition implies that K exists, see the first paragraph in the proof of Lemma 5.1 below). Let $\sqrt[K]{z}$ denote the principal K^{th} root of z i.e., $-\pi/K < \arg(\sqrt[K]{z}) \leq \pi/K$. In the following theorem $(\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-k}$ is defined as the appropriate integer power of $\sqrt[K]{\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d}}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$ and $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Define $S\cdot A : \mathcal{V}\times\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} [S\cdot A](u,\tau) &= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-k}S(a,A\tau) \quad \textit{for } u \in V_{[k]}, \\ \textit{and} \quad [S\cdot A](f(\tau)u,\tau) &= f(\tau)[S\cdot A](u,\tau) \quad \textit{for } u \in V,\ f(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{|G|}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $S\cdot A \in \mathcal{C}((g,h)\cdot A)$.

Proof. Fix $g, h \in G$, $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$. It is obvious that $S \cdot A$ satisfies **CB1**. Because $S(u,\tau)$ is holomorphic in τ, $S(u, A\tau)$ is too. Because $\mathsf{c}\mathcal{H} + \mathsf{d}$ is disjoint from the branch cut, $(\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-k}$ is holomorphic in τ. Therefore $S \cdot A$ satisfies **CB2**.

Clearly $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1,1)$ if and only if $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) \cdot A = (1,1)$.

Suppose $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1,1)$. We have $S(X_1(u,v),\tau) = 0$, hence

$$[S \cdot A](X_1(u,v),\tau) = (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v - 1} S(X_1(u,v), A\tau) = 0.$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[S \cdot A](X_2(u,v),\tau) &= [S \cdot A](u_{([-2])}v, \tau) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1) G_{2k}(\tau) [S \cdot A](u_{([2k-2])}v, \tau) \\
&= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v - 1} S(u_{([-2])}v, A\tau) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1) G_{2k}(\tau) (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v + 2k - 1} S(u_{([2k-2])}v, A\tau) \\
&= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v - 1} \left[S(u_{([-2])}v, A\tau) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1) G_{2k}(A\tau) S(u_{([2k-2])}v, A\tau) \right] \\
&= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v - 1} S(X_2(u,v), A\tau) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $(\mu, \lambda) = (\mu(u), \lambda(u))_{(g,h)} \neq (1,1)$ (so $(\mu(u), \lambda(u))_{(g,h)\cdot A} \neq (1,1)$ too). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[S \cdot A](X_3^{(g,h)\cdot A}(u,v),\tau) &= [S \cdot A](u_{([-1])}v, \tau) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^{(\mu,\lambda)\cdot A}(\tau) [S \cdot A](u_{([k])}v, \tau) \\
&= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v} S(u_{([-1])}v, A\tau) \\
&\quad - (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^{(\mu,\lambda)\cdot A}(\tau) (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{k+1} S(u_{([k])}v, A\tau) \\
&= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v} \left[S(u_{([-1])}v, A\tau) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^{\mu,\lambda}(A\tau) S(u_{([k])}v, A\tau) \right] \\
&= (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - \nabla_v} S(X_3^{g,h}(u,v), A\tau) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

(having used the transformation property (3.2) of $P_k^{\mu,\lambda}$). Finally note that $[S \cdot A](u,\tau) = 0$ whenever $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) \neq (1,1)$ because the same is true for S. Thus $S \cdot A$ satisfies **CB3**.

Let $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1,1)$ again. By a calculation similar to the one above, we have

$$[S \cdot A](\operatorname{Res}_z \zeta(z,\tau) L[z] u dz, \tau) = (\mathsf{c}\tau + \mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u - 2} S(\operatorname{Res}_z \zeta(z, A\tau) L[z] u dz, A\tau).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[2\pi i\frac{d}{d\tau}+\nabla_u G_2(\tau)\right][S\cdot A](u,\tau)\\
=&\left[2\pi i\frac{d}{d\tau}+\nabla_u G_2(\tau)\right](\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u}S(u,A\tau)\\
=&\left[-2\pi i\mathsf{c}\nabla_u(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u-1}+(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u}\frac{d(A\tau)}{d\tau}\frac{d}{d(A\tau)}+\nabla_u G_2(\tau)(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u}\right]S(u,A\tau)\\
=&\,(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u-2}\left[-2\pi i\mathsf{c}\nabla_u(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})+\frac{d}{d(A\tau)}+\nabla_u G_2(\tau)(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^2\right]S(u,A\tau)\\
=&\,(\mathsf{c}\tau+\mathsf{d})^{-\nabla_u-2}\left[\frac{d}{d(A\tau)}+\nabla_u G_2(A\tau)\right]S(u,A\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

So $S\cdot A$ satisfies **CB4**. ☐

5 Differential equations satisfied by conformal blocks

We recall the crucial C_2-*cofiniteness* condition introduced in [14]. This condition, together with the conformal block axioms, implies the existence of an ordinary differential equation (ODE) satisfied by the conformal blocks.

Definition 5.1. The vertex operator algebra V is said to be C_2-*cofinite* if the subspace

$$C_2(V)=\mathrm{Span}\{u_{(-2)}v|u,v\in V\}\subseteq V$$

has finite codimension in V.

Lemma 5.1. *If V is C_2-cofinite then the $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$-module $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{O}(g,h)$ is finitely generated, for each $g,h\in G$.*

Proof. Since $C_2(V)$ is a graded subspace of V (under the Δ-grading) there exists $n_0\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $V_n\subseteq C_2(V)$ for all $n>n_0$. Let $W=\oplus_{k\leq n_0}V_k\subseteq V$. Since $\Delta_{u_{(-2)}v}=\Delta_u+\Delta_v+1$, every vector in V with conformal weight greater than n_0 can be expressed in terms of n^{th} products of vectors in W. Therefore all conformal weights in V are integer multiples of $1/K$ for some positive integer K.

Let $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{M}_{|G|}W\subseteq\mathcal{V}$. Recall that

$$L_{[0]}=L_0+\sum_{i\geq 1}\alpha_{0i}L_i \quad\text{and}\quad L_0=L_{[0]}+\sum_{i\geq 1}\beta_{0i}L_{[i]},$$

for certain $\alpha_{0i},\beta_{0i}\in\mathbb{C}$. Suppose $u\in V_n$, i.e., $L_0u=nu$. Then $L_{[0]}u=nu$ modulo terms with strictly lower Δ. Similarly if $v\in V_{[n]}$ then $L_0v=nv$ modulo terms with strictly lower ∇. Thus $\oplus_{k\leq n}V_k=\oplus_{k\leq n}V_{[k]}$ for any $n\in\mathbb{Q}$.

We will prove by induction on conformal weight (which is possible since conformal weights are multiples of $1/K$ and are bounded below) that $V_{[n]} \subseteq \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{O}(g,h)$ for all n. According to the last paragraph this holds for $n \leq n_0$ already.

Let $n > n_0$, and let $x \in V_{[n]}$. Since $V = W + C_2(V)$ we may write x as $w \in W$ plus a sum of vectors of the form

$$u_{(-2)}v = u_{([-2])}v + \sum_{j>-2} \alpha_{-2,j} u_{([j])}v,$$

where we assume u, v are homogeneous in the ∇-grading. It is clear that we can choose all the pairs of vectors u, v so that $\nabla_u + \nabla_v + 1 \leq n$. Therefore all the terms in the j-summation have $\nabla < n$, hence they lie in $\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{O}(g,h)$ by the inductive assumption. It suffices to show that $u_{([-2])}v \in \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{O}(g,h)$.

If $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1,1)$ then

$$X_2(u,v) = u_{([-2])}v + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k-1) G_{2k}(\tau) u_{([2k-2])}v \in \mathcal{O}(g,h).$$

The terms in the summation have $\nabla < n$, hence they lie in $\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{O}(g,h)$ by the inductive assumption. Therefore $u_{([-2])}v$ does too.

If $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) \neq (1,1)$ then

$$X_3(u,v) = -u_{([-1])}v + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^{\mu(u),\lambda(u)}(q) u_{([k])}v \in \mathcal{O}(g,h).$$

Substituting $L_{[-1]}u$ in place of u shows that

$$u_{([-2])}v - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k^{\mu(u),\lambda(u)}(q) u_{([k-1])}v \in \mathcal{O}(g,h)$$

too. As before, $u_{([-2])}v \in \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{O}(g,h)$. □

Remark 5.2. Inspection of the proof of Lemma 5.1 reveals that the C_2-cofiniteness condition can be weakened to the following: $V/C^{(g,h)}$ is finite dimensional where $C^{(g,h)}$ is defined to be the span of the vectors

$$u_{(-2)}v \text{ for } (\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1,1), \quad \text{and} \quad u_{(-1)}v \text{ for } (\mu(u), \lambda(u)) \neq (1,1).$$

The following lemma is stated in [3].

Lemma 5.3. *For any integer $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{M}_N$ is a Noetherian ring.*

Lemma 5.4. *Let V be C_2-cofinite, let $u \in V$, and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$. There exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r_0(\tau), \dots r_{m-1}(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{|G|}$ such that*

$$S(L_{[-2]}^m u, \tau) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i(\tau) S(L_{[-2]}^i u, \tau) = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Let $I_n(u) \subseteq \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{O}(g,h)$ be the $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$-submodule generated over $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$ by the images of $u, L_{[-2]}u, \dots L_{[-2]}^n u$. Because $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{O}(g,h)$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$-module and $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$ is a Noetherian ring, $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{O}(g,h)$ is a Noetherian $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$-module: meaning that the ascending chain $I_0(u) \subseteq I_1(u) \subseteq \dots$ stabilizes. For some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have $I_m(u) = I_{m-1}(u)$, which implies

$$L_{[-2]}^m u + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i(\tau) L_{[-2]}^i u \in \mathcal{O}(g,h)$$

for some $r_i(\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{|G|}$. Equation (5.1) follows from this formula and **CB3**. $\square$

The axiom **CB4** states an equality between $S(L_{[-2]}u,\tau)$ and $(q\frac{d}{dq})S(u,\tau)$ modulo 'terms of lower order', i.e., terms of the form $S(v,\tau)$ with $\nabla_v < \nabla_u$. We use this to convert (5.1) into an ODE satisfied by $S(u,\tau)$. More precisely we have

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\overline{q} = q^{1/|G|}$, and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$. For each $u \in V$, $S(u,\tau)$ satisfies an ODE of the form*

$$(\overline{q}\frac{d}{d\overline{q}})^m S(u,\tau) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g_i(\overline{q})(\overline{q}\frac{d}{d\overline{q}})^i S(u,\tau) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_{jk}(\overline{q})(\overline{q}\frac{d}{d\overline{q}})^j S(x_{jk},\tau) = 0,$$

where the $x_{jk} \in V$ are of strictly lower conformal weight than u and the functions $g_i(\overline{q})$ and $h_{jk}(\overline{q})$ are polynomials in elements of $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$ and derivatives of G_2 with respect to $\overline{q}$. In particular these functions are all regular at $\overline{q} = 0$, and so the ODE has a regular singular point there.

We write $\overline{q}$ here instead of q because the elements of $\mathcal{M}_{|G|}$ can be expressed as series in integer powers of $\overline{q}$, rather than q. For the proof of Theorem 5.5 see Section 6 of [3].

For $u^{(0)} \in V$ of minimal conformal weight the ODE satisfied by $S(u^{(0)},\tau)$ is homogeneous because there are no nonzero vectors with strictly lower conformal weight. The theory of Frobenius-Fuchs tells us that $S(u^{(0)},\tau)$ may be expressed in a certain form (5.2) below. For arbitrary $u \in V$ the same conclusion cannot be drawn directly because of the presence of the inhomogeneous term. However an induction on ∇_u shows that $S(u,\tau)$ does take the form (5.2) for all $u \in V$. The form in question is

$$\begin{aligned} S(u,\tau) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} (\log q)^i S_i(u,\tau), \\ \text{where} \quad S_i(u,\tau) &= \sum_{j=1}^{b(i)} q^{\lambda_{ij}} S_{ij}(u,\tau), \qquad (5.2) \\ \text{where} \quad S_{ij}(u,\tau) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{i,j,n}(u) q^{n/|G|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{ij_1} - \lambda_{ij_2} \notin \frac{1}{|G|}\mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_2 \leq b(i)$. We call (5.2) the *Frobenius expansion* of $S(u,\tau)$.

A priori the parameters p, $b(i)$, and $\lambda_{i,j}$ in the Frobenius expansion of $S(u,\tau)$ depend on u. However if $\{u^{(i)}\}$ is a basis of W then the conformal blocks $S(u^{(i)},\tau)$ obviously span $\mathcal{C}(g,h)$. This implies that $\mathcal{C}(g,h)$ is finite dimensional, and that the Frobenius expansion of $S(u,\tau)$ may be written with fixed p, $b(i)$, λ_{i,j_r} independent of u.

6 Coefficients of Frobenius expansions

In this section we study the coefficients $C_{p,j,0} : V \to \mathbb{C}$ from (5.2). First we recall the definition of the g-twisted Zhu algebra $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$.

Definition 6.1. For $u, v \in V$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} u \circ_n v &= \mathrm{Res}_w\, w^n (1+w)^{\Delta_u + \epsilon_u} Y(u,w) v dw \\ &= 2\pi i\, \mathrm{Res}_z\, e^{2\pi i(1+\epsilon_u)z} (e^{2\pi i z} - 1)^n Y[u,z] v dz. \end{aligned}$$

Let $J_g \subseteq V$ be the span of all elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & u \quad \text{for } \mu(u) \neq 1 \\ & u \circ_n v \quad \text{for } \mu(u) = \mu(v) = 1 \text{ and } n \leq -2, \\ & u \circ_n v \quad \text{for } \mu(u) = \mu(v)^{-1} \neq 1 \text{ and } n \leq -1, \\ \text{and} \quad & (L_{-1} + L_0)u \quad \text{for } \mu(u) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The *g-twisted Zhu algebra* is $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V) = V/J_g$ as a vector superspace, with the product induced by $\circ_{-1}$.

We denote the projection of $u \in V$ to $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ as $[u]$ or simply u. The following two theorems are proved in [2]; note that $u_{([0])}v$ in our notation is $(2\pi i)^{-1}[u,v]_{\hbar=1}$ in theirs.

Theorem 6.1.

- *The product $\circ_{-1}$ is well-defined on $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ and makes it into an associative superalgebra with unit $[|0\rangle]$. We denote the product by $*$.*
- *The 0^{th} Zhu product $\cdot_{([0])}\cdot$ is well-defined on $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ and we have*

$$u * v - p(u,v) v * u = 2\pi i u_{([0])} v \quad \textit{for all } u, v \in \mathrm{Zhu}_g(V). \tag{6.1}$$

- *$[\omega]$ is central in $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$.*

Theorem 6.2.

- *There is a restriction functor Ω from the category of positive energy g-twisted V-modules to the category of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$-modules. It sends M to M_0 with the action $[u] * x = u_0^M x$ for $u \in V$ and $x \in M_0$.*
- *There is an induction functor L going in the other direction, and we have $\Omega(L(N)) \cong N$ for any $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$-module N.*

- *Ω and L are inverse bijections between the sets of irreducible modules in each category.*

The automorphism h of V descends to an automorphism of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$, which we also denote h.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $S \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$ with Frobenius expansion (5.2). Fix $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots b(p)\}$, and let $f = C_{p,j,0}$. We have*

- *$f(u) = 0$ for all $u \in J_g(V)$, so f descends to a map $f : \mathrm{Zhu}_g(V) \to \mathbb{C}$.*
- *$f(u * v) = \delta_{\lambda(u)\lambda(v),1} p(u,v)\lambda(u)^{-1} f(v * u)$ for all $u, v \in \mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$.*

Proof. By definition $S(\cdot, \tau)$ annihilates $\mathcal{O}(g,h)$. Therefore f annihilates the q^0 coefficient of any element of $\mathcal{O}(g,h)$. If $u \in V$ with $\mu(u) \neq 1$ then $u \in \mathcal{O}(g,h)$, so $f(u) = 0$. If $\mu(u) = 1$ then $2\pi i(L_{-1} + L_0)u = \tilde{\omega}_{([0])}u \in \mathcal{O}(g,h)$ is annihilated by f. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
[q^0] : X_1(u,v) &= X_1(u,v) = u_{([0])}v, \\
[q^0] : X_2(u,v) &= \mathrm{Res}_z \left([q^0] : \partial_z P(z,q) - G_2(q)\right) Y[u,z]v dz \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_z \left[2\pi i \partial_z \frac{e^{2\pi i z}}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} - 2\zeta(2)\right] Y[u,z]v dz \\
&= -(2\pi i)^2 \,\mathrm{Res}_z \frac{e^{2\pi i z}}{(e^{2\pi i z} - 1)^2} Y[u,z]v dz - 2\zeta(2) u_{([0])}v \\
&= -2\pi i u \circ_{-2} v - 2\zeta(2) u_{([0])}v, \\
\text{and} \quad [q^0] : X_3(u,v) &= \mathrm{Res}_z \left([q^0] : P^{\mu(u),\lambda(u)}(z,q)\right) Y[u,z]v dz \\
&= \mathrm{Res}_z \left[\frac{2\pi i \delta}{1 - \lambda(u)} - 2\pi i \frac{e^{2\pi i(1+\epsilon_u)z}}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1}\right] Y[u,z]v dz \\
&= \frac{2\pi i \delta}{1 - \lambda(u)} u_{([0])}v - u \circ_{-1} v.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence f annihilates J_g, and descends to a function on $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$.

Now for the second part. Let $\mu(u) = \mu(v) = 1$. If $\lambda(u)\lambda(v) \neq 1$ then $u * v$, $v * u$ and $u_{([0])}v$ all lie in $\mathcal{O}(g,h)$ and so are annihilated by f. If $\lambda(u) = \lambda(v) = 1$ then f annihilates $u_{([0])}v$, hence $f(u * v) = p(u,v) f(v * u)$. If $\lambda(u)\lambda(v) = 1$ with $\lambda(u) \neq 1$, then f annihilates

$$\frac{2\pi i}{1 - \lambda(u)} u_{([0])}v - u * v.$$

Combining this with (6.1) shows that

$$f(u * v) = p(u,v)\lambda(u)^{-1} f(v * u),$$

so we are done. ☐

7 h-supersymmetric functions

Let h be an automorphism of an associative superalgebra A, and let $\lambda(a)$ denote the h-eigenvalue of an eigenvector $a \in A$. In Section 6 we were led to consider functions $f : A =$

$\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$f(a * b) = \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1} p(a,b) \lambda(a)^{-1} f(b * a) \tag{7.1}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. We refer to such functions as *h-supersymmetric* functions on A, and we denote the space of all such functions $\mathcal{F}_h(A)$. We write $\mathcal{F}(A)$ for $\mathcal{F}_1(A)$ and call these *supersymmetric* functions.

Let A be semisimple now, and let $A = \oplus_{i \in I} A_i$ be its decomposition into simple components. The automorphism h permutes the A_i. The following fact is proved in Lemma 10.7 of [3] (for algebras, but the proof carries over to the present case with trivial modification).

Lemma 7.1. *$\mathcal{F}_h(A) = \oplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{F}_h(A_i)$ where the direct sum is over the subset $J \subset I$ of h-invariant simple components.*

For the rest of this section let A be a simple superalgebra. The Wedderburn theorem for superalgebras [8] is as follows.

Theorem 7.2. *A finite dimensional simple associative superalgebra over $\mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to one of the following superalgebras.*

- $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{m|k})$, *where $m + k \geq 1$.*
- $A_0[\xi]/(\xi^2 = 1)$, *where $A_0 = \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for some $n \geq 1$, and ξ is an odd indeterminate.*

We refer to these as Type I and Type II superalgebras, respectively. Supersymmetric functions on a simple superalgebra are characterized as follows [8].

Lemma 7.3. *Let A be a simple superalgebra, and let N be its unique up to isomorphism irreducible module. If A is of* Type I *then $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is spanned by the function $a \mapsto \mathrm{STr}_N\, a$. If A is of* Type II *then $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is spanned by the function $a \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_N(a\xi)$.*

Now we describe h-supersymmetric functions on A by reducing to the case of supersymmetric functions. We deal with the Type I and Type II cases separately.

Lemma 7.4. *If A is of* Type I *then $\mathcal{F}_h(A)$ is spanned by the map $a \mapsto \mathrm{STr}_N(a\gamma)$ where $\gamma \in A$ has the property $h(a) = \gamma^{-1} a \gamma$.*

Proof. Since N is the unique irreducible A-module, the action $(a, x) \mapsto ax$ of A on N is equivalent to the action $(a, x) \mapsto h(a)x$. Hence there exists $\gamma : N \to N$ such that $h(a) = \gamma^{-1} a \gamma$ for all $a \in A$, $x \in N$. Since h is even by definition, we may take γ even too. Now the set of endomorphisms of N is just A itself, so $\gamma \in A_0$ (this is essentially the proof of the Skolem-Noether theorem). Since $h(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}\gamma\gamma = \gamma$ we have $\lambda(\gamma) = 1$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_h(A)$. If we put $\overline{f}(a) = f(a\gamma^{-1})$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f}(ab) = f(ab\gamma^{-1}) &= \lambda(a)^{-1} \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1} p(a,b) f(b\gamma^{-1}a) \\ &= \lambda(a)^{-1} \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1} p(a,b) f(b\gamma^{-1}a\gamma\gamma^{-1}) \\ &= \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1} p(a,b) f(ba\gamma^{-1}) \\ &= \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1} p(a,b) \overline{f}(ba). \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

In particular $\overline{f}$ is supersymmetric, and therefore is a multiple of $\mathrm{STr}_N(a)$. Now, $\mathrm{STr}_N(a) = 0$ unless $\lambda(a) = 1$ for otherwise a maps one γ-eigenspace in N to another. Thus STr_N satisfies (7.2). The claim follows. ☐

The Type II case is a little more complicated because $A = \mathrm{End}(N)$ is no longer true (in other words the Skolem-Noether theorem is not true for Type II superalgebras). Let $A = A_0[\xi]/(\xi^2 = 1)$ be of Type II. We have $h(\xi)^2 = h(\xi^2) = h(1) = 1$, and $h(a)h(\xi) = h(a\xi) = h(\xi a) = h(\xi)h(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Hence $h(\xi) = \pm\xi$.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $A = A_0[\xi]/(\xi^2 = 1)$ be of Type II. Then $\mathcal{F}_h(A)$ is spanned by*

$$\begin{aligned} & a \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_N(a\xi\gamma_0) \quad \text{if } h(\xi) = \xi, \\ \text{or} \quad & a \mapsto \mathrm{STr}_N(a\sigma_N\gamma_0) \quad \text{if } h(\xi) = -\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_0 \in A_0$ is chosen to satisfy $h(a) = \gamma_0^{-1}a\gamma_0$ for $a \in A_0$.

Proof. For the case of $h(\xi) = \xi$, note that $\gamma_0^{-1}\xi\gamma_0 = \xi = h(\xi)$, so $h(a) = \gamma_0^{-1}a\gamma_0$ for all $a \in A$. The proof of Lemma 7.4 shows that $f(a) = \overline{f}(a\gamma_0)$ where $\overline{f}$ is supersymmetric. The result now follows from Lemma 7.3.

Now consider the case $h(\xi) = -\xi$. This time $\gamma_0^{-1}a\gamma_0 = \sigma_A(h(a))$. So let $\overline{f}(a) = f(a\gamma_0^{-1})$ and repeat calculation (7.2) to obtain

$$\overline{f}(ab) = \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1}p(a,b)(-1)^{p(a)}\overline{f}(ba),$$

Let $a, b \in A_0$, we have

$$\overline{f}(\xi a) = -\delta_{\lambda(a),-1}\overline{f}(a\xi)$$

and

$$\overline{f}(ab) = \delta_{\lambda(a)\lambda(b),1}\overline{f}(ba).$$

So $\overline{f}(\xi a) = 0$ and $a \mapsto f(a\gamma_0^{-1})$ is a symmetric function on A_0. Hence f is a scalar multiple of $\mathrm{Tr}_{N_0}(a\gamma_0) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{STr}_N(a\gamma_0\sigma_N)$. ☐

In summary we have (where γ and γ_0 are as in Lemmas 7.4 and 7.5)

A	A is of Type I	$h(a) = \gamma^{-1}a\gamma$	$\mathcal{F}_h(A) = \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{STr}_N(\cdot\gamma)$
B	A is of Type II and $h(\xi) = \xi$	$h(a) = (\gamma_0\xi)^{-1}a(\gamma_0\xi)$	$\mathcal{F}_h(A) = \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{Tr}_N(\cdot\gamma_0\xi)$
C	A is of Type II and $h(\xi) = -\xi$	$h(a) = (\gamma_0\sigma_N)^{-1}a(\gamma_0\sigma_N)$	$\mathcal{F}_h(A) = \mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{STr}_N(\cdot\gamma_0\sigma_N)$

In case B (resp. C) let $\gamma = \gamma_0\xi$ (resp. $\gamma = \gamma_0\sigma_N$). Then we may write $h(a) = \gamma^{-1}a\gamma$ in all cases. Note that γ is odd in case B.

8 Supertrace Functions

Recall that we assume $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ to be semisimple (and finite dimensional, which follows from C_2-cofiniteness). Let $A \subseteq \mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ be a h-invariant simple component, and N its irreducible module. Let $M = L(N)$. The isomorphism $\gamma : N \to N$ intertwines the two equivalent actions of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ on N,i.e., the usual one $(u, x) \mapsto ux$, and $(u, x) \mapsto h(u)x$. By Theorem 6.2 γ lifts to a grade-preserving isomorphism $M \to M$ which we also call γ, such that

$$\gamma^{-1} u_n^M \gamma = h(u)_n^M \tag{8.1}$$

for all $u \in V$, $n \in [\epsilon_u]$ (below we drop the M superscripts).

Definition 8.1. The supertrace function associated to M is

$$S_M(u, \tau) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{STr}_M\, u_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} & \text{in cases A and C,} \\ \mathrm{Tr}_M\, u_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} & \text{in case B,} \end{cases}$$

where cases A, B and C refer to the table of Section 7 and γ is as defined immediately below that table.

Theorem 8.1. *Fix $g, h \in G$. The supertrace function S_M, associated to $M = L(N)$ for some h-invariant irreducible $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$-module N, lies in $\mathcal{C}(g, h)$. Furthermore the S_M are linearly independent.*

Linear independence of the S_M follows from linear independence of the h-supersymmetric functions constructed in Section 7. We prove that $S_M \in \mathcal{C}(g, h)$ via the technical Propositions 8.2-8.7 below.

For the rest of this section we fix $M = L(N) \in P_h(g, V)$. We use the following notation below. For an endomorphism α of a graded piece M_r of M,

$$T_{M_r}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{STr}_{M_r}(\alpha\gamma) & \text{in cases A and C,} \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{M_r}(\alpha\gamma) & \text{in case B.} \end{cases}$$

If $\alpha : M \to M$ is a grade-preserving linear map then we define $T_M(\alpha) = \sum_r T_{M_r}(\alpha|_{M_r})$.

In Propositions 8.2 and 8.3 we show that T_M annihilates vectors $u \in V$ such that $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) \neq (1, 1)$, and $u_{([0])}v$ for $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1, 1)$. In Proposition 8.5 we show that

$$T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, P^{\mu(u),\lambda(u)}(z, q) Y[u, z] v dz)_0 q^{L_0}) = \begin{cases} -T_M(a_0 b_0 q^{L_0}) & \text{if } (\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In Proposition 8.6 we use this identity to show that T_M annihilates the remaining elements of $\mathcal{O}(g, h)$. All of these facts hold for S_M immediately, so **CB3** is verified. In Proposition 8.7 we use the identity above to show that S_M satisfies **CB4**, this is the single place at which the extra factor of $q^{-\mathfrak{c}/24}$ is important. **CB2** is automatic.

To verify **CB1**, we must show that the power series S_M converges to a holomorphic function in $|q| < 1$. In the presence of the C_2-cofiniteness condition, this actually follows from the other axioms. We may repeat the calculations of Section 5 to show that the power series S_M formally satisfies a Fuchsian ODE, it then follows from general theory that S_M converges to a solution to this ODE.

Since $\omega \in V$ is h-invariant, γ commutes with L_0 and q^{L_0}. Since ω is in the center of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ and N is irreducible, L_0 acts on N as a scalar $h(N) \in \mathbb{C}$. Recall that $[L_0, u_k] = -k u_k$. It follows that L_0 acts on M_r as the scalar $h(N) + r$, and that u_0 commutes with L_0 and q^{L_0}.

Proposition 8.2. *If* $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) \neq (1,1)$*, then* $T_{M_r}(u_0) = 0$.

Proof. The operators u_n are defined for $n \in \epsilon_u + \mathbb{Z}$, so if $\mu(u) \neq 1$ then $u_0 = 0$ and $T_{M_r}(u_0) = 0$.

Let $x \in M$ have γ-eigenvalue α. If $\lambda(u) \neq 1$ then

$$\gamma^{-1}(u_0\gamma x) = h(u)_0 x = \lambda u_0 x = \lambda\alpha^{-1}(u_0\gamma x).$$

Thus $u_0\gamma$ permutes γ-eigenspaces leaving none of them fixed. Hence the (super)trace of $u_0\gamma$ is zero. □

Proposition 8.3. *If* $\mu(u) = 1$ *then*

$$T_{M_r}(u_{([0])}v)_0 = [1 - \lambda(u)](2\pi i)^{-1} T_{M_r} u_0 v_0.$$

Proof. Assume $\mu(v) = 1$ and $\lambda(v) = \lambda(u)^{-1}$, for otherwise both sides of the equation vanish and the result is trivially true.

The commutator formula (2.2) with $m = k = 0$ is

$$[u_0, v_0] = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{\Delta_u - 1}{j} (u_{(j)}v)_0 = (\operatorname{Res}_w (1+w)^{\Delta_u - 1} Y(u,w) v dw)_0.$$

Using the substitution $w = e^{2\pi i z} - 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(u_{([0])}v)_0 &= (\operatorname{Res}_z Y[u,z] v dz)_0 = \left(\operatorname{Res}_z e^{2\pi i \Delta_u z} Y(u, e^{2\pi i z} - 1) v dz\right)_0 \\
&= (2\pi i)^{-1} \left(\operatorname{Res}_w (1+w)^{\Delta_u - 1} Y(u,w) v dw\right)_0 \\
&= (2\pi i)^{-1} [u_0, v_0].
\end{aligned}$$

In cases A and C γ is even and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{STr}_{M_r}[u_0, v_0]\gamma &= \operatorname{STr}_{M_r} u_0 v_0 \gamma - p(u,v) \operatorname{STr}_M v_0 u_0 \gamma \\
&= \operatorname{STr}_{M_r} u_0 v_0 \gamma - p(u,v)\lambda(u) \operatorname{STr}_M v_0 \gamma u_0 \\
&= [1 - \lambda(u)] \operatorname{STr}_{M_r} u_0 v_0 \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

In case B γ is odd and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}_{M_r}[u_0, v_0]\gamma &= \operatorname{Tr}_{M_r} u_0 v_0 \gamma - \operatorname{Tr}_{M_r} v_0 u_0 \gamma \\
&= \operatorname{Tr}_{M_r} u_0 v_0 \gamma - \operatorname{Tr}_{M_r} u_0 \gamma v_0 \\
&= [1 - \lambda(v)^{-1}] \operatorname{Tr}_{M_r} u_0 v_0 \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 8.4. *If* $\mu(v) = \mu(u)^{-1}$ *and* $n \in [\epsilon_u]_{>0}$*, then*

$$T_{M_r} u_n v_{-n} = \lambda(u)^{-1} p(u,v) T_{M_{r+n}} v_{-n} u_n.$$

Proof. Consider the (super)trace on the space $M_r \oplus M_{r+n}$ and note that, in this direct sum, u_n annihilates M_r and v_{-n} annihilates M_{r+n}. In cases A and C we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{STr}_{M_r}\, u_n v_{-n}\gamma &= \mathrm{STr}_{M_r\oplus M_{r+n}}\, u_n v_{-n}\gamma \\ &= p(u,v)\,\mathrm{STr}_{M_r\oplus M_{r+n}}\, v_{-n}\gamma u_n \\ &= p(u,v)\lambda(u)^{-1}\,\mathrm{STr}_{M_r\oplus M_{r+n}}\, v_{-n}u_n\gamma \\ &= p(u,v)\lambda(u)^{-1}\,\mathrm{STr}_{M_{r+n}}\, v_{-n}u_n\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

In case B we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_{M_r}\, u_n v_{-n}\gamma &= \mathrm{Tr}_{M_r\oplus M_{r+n}}\, u_n v_{-n}\gamma \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}_{M_r\oplus M_{r+n}}\, v_{-n}\gamma u_n \\ &= \lambda(u)^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{M_r\oplus M_{r+n}}\, v_{-n}u_n\gamma \\ &= \lambda(u)^{-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}_{M_{r+n}}\, v_{-n}u_n\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

In the case $p(u,v) = 1$ the result holds. If $p(u,v) = -1$ then $u_n v_{-n}$ is even, both sides vanish and the result holds. ☐

No further calculations require resolution into the cases A, B and C.

Proposition 8.5.

$$T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, P^{\mu(u),\lambda(u)}(z,q)Y[u,z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0}) = \begin{cases} -T_M(u_0v_0q^{L_0}) & \textit{if } (\mu(u),\lambda(u)) = (1,1), \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{8.2}$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon = \epsilon_u$, $\mu = \mu(u)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(u)$. Assume that $\mu(v) = \mu^{-1}$ and $\lambda(v) = \lambda^{-1}$, for otherwise both sides of the claimed equality vanish automatically. Assume without loss of generality that $L_0|_{M_0} = 0$.

For any $r \geq 0$, the q^r coefficient of

$$T_M(\mathrm{Res}_z\, P^{\mu,\lambda}(z,q)Y[u,z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0}$$

is $X - Y + Z$, where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{2\pi i\delta}{1-\lambda} T_{M_r}(u_{([0])}v)_0, \\ Y &= 2\pi i T_{M_r}(\mathrm{Res}_z\, \frac{e^{2\pi i(1+\epsilon)z}}{e^{2\pi iz}-1} Y[u,z]v dz)_0, \\ \text{and}\quad Z &= 2\pi i \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^m \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} T_{M_{r-mn}}(\mathrm{Res}_z\, e^{2\pi inz}Y[u,z]v dz)_0 \\ &\quad - 2\pi i \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^{-m} \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} T_{M_{r+mn}}(\mathrm{Res}_z\, e^{2\pi inz}Y[u,z]v dz)_0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

(the sum defining Z is finite since terms with $|mn| > r$ contribute nothing).

Using the change of variable $w = e^{2\pi i z} - 1$ and the commutator formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathrm{Res}_z\, e^{2\pi i n z} Y[u,z]v dz\right)_0 &= (2\pi i)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Res}_w (1+w)^{n+\Delta_u-1} Y(u,w)v dw\right)_0 \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-1}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\binom{n+\Delta_u-1}{j}(u_{(j)}v)_0 = (2\pi i)^{-1}[u_n, v_{-n}]\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

for any $n \in [\epsilon_u]$. Now let $n \in [\epsilon_u]_{>0}$. Proposition 8.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^m T_{M_{r-mn}} u_n v_{-n} &= p(u,v)\lambda^{-1}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^m T_{M_{r-(m-1)n}} v_{-n}u_n \\ &= p(u,v)\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{+}} \lambda^m T_{M_{r-mn}} v_{-n}u_n,\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^m T_{M_{r-mn}}[u_n, v_{-n}] = p(u,v) T_{M_r} v_{-n}u_n. \tag{8.5}$$

If $n \in [\epsilon_u]_{<0}$ then $-n \in [\epsilon_v]_{>0}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^{-m} T_{M_{r+mn}}[u_n, v_{-n}] &= -p(u,v)\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^{-m} T_{M_{r-m(-n)}}[v_{-n}, u_n] \\ &= -T_{M_r} u_n v_{-n}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

Combining (8.5) and (8.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}Z &= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^m \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} T_{M_{r-mn}}[u_n, v_{-n}] - \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \lambda^{-m} \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} T_{M_{r+mn}}[u_n, v_{-n}] \\ &= \sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{<0}} T_{M_r} u_n v_{-n} + p(u,v)\sum_{n\in[\epsilon]_{>0}} T_{M_r} v_{-n} u_n.\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{Res}_z \frac{e^{2\pi i(1+\epsilon)z}}{e^{2\pi i z}-1} Y[u,z]v dz)_0 &= (\mathrm{Res}_z \frac{e^{2\pi i(1+\epsilon)z}}{e^{2\pi i z}-1} e^{2\pi i \Delta_u z} Y(u, e^{2\pi i z}-1)v dz)_0 \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-1}(\mathrm{Res}_w\, w^{-1}(1+w)^{\Delta_u+\epsilon} Y(u,w)v dw)_0 \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-1}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\binom{\Delta_u+\epsilon}{j}(u_{(j-1)}v)_0.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the Borcherds identity with $n = -1$ and $m = 1 + \epsilon_u = -k$ yields

$$Y = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} T_{M_r}\left(u_{-j+\epsilon} v_{j-\epsilon} + p(u,v) v_{-j-1-\epsilon} u_{j+1+\epsilon}\right). \tag{8.8}$$

Now we see that

$$-Y + Z = \begin{cases} -T_{M_r} a_0 b_0 & \text{if } \mu = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

If $\mu \neq 1$ then $X = \delta = 0$ and we are done, similarly if $\mu = \lambda = 1$. Suppose $\mu = 1 \neq \lambda$ now. From Proposition 8.3 we have

$$X - Y + Z = T_{M_r} u_0 v_0 - T_{M_r} u_0 v_0 = 0$$

as required. □

In the two following lemmas suppose $(\mu(u), \lambda(u)) = (1, 1)$.

Proposition 8.6. *We have* $T_M(\mathrm{Res}_z\, \wp(z,q) Y[u,z]v, \tau) = 0$.

Proof. For all $u \in V$ we have $(L_{[-1]}u)_0 = 2\pi i (L_{-1}u + L_0 u)_0 = 0$. By Proposition (8.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= T_M((L_{[-1]}u)_0 b_0 q^{L_0}) \\
&= T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, P(z,q) Y[L_{[-1]}u, z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0}) \\
&= T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, P(z,q) \partial_z Y[u,z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0}) \\
&= -T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, \partial_z P(z,q) Y[u,z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0}) \\
&= -T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z (\wp(z,q) + G_2(q)) Y[u,z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition (8.3), the $G_2(q)$ term contributes nothing, so the result follows. □

Proposition 8.7. *We have* $\left[(2\pi i)^2 q\frac{d}{dq} + \nabla_u G_2(q)\right] S_M(u,\tau) = S_M(\mathrm{Res}_z\, \zeta(z,q) L[z]u dz, \tau)$.

Proof. We start with equation (8.2). Multiply through by $q^{-\mathfrak{c}/24}$ and substitute $u = \tilde{\omega} = (2\pi i)^2(\omega - \mathfrak{c}/24\,|0\rangle)$, so that $u_0 = (2\pi i)^2(L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24)$. The right hand side is

$$-(2\pi i)^2 T_M((L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24) v_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}) = -(2\pi i)^2 q \frac{d}{dq} T_M(v_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}).$$

The left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, P(z,q) L[z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}) &= T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z[-\zeta(z,q) + zG_2(q) - \pi i] L[z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}) \\
&= G_2(q) \nabla_v T_M(v_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}) \\
&\quad - T_M((\mathrm{Res}_z\, \zeta(z,q) L[z]v dz)_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}),
\end{aligned}$$

having used $\tilde{\omega}_{([1])} v = \nabla_v b$ and Proposition (8.3). □

Thus Theorem 8.1 is proved.

9 Exhausting a conformal block by supertrace functions

Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}$. We will say ϕ is *lower* than ψ (and ψ is *higher* than ϕ) if the real part of ϕ is strictly less than that of ψ.

Let $S(u,\tau) \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$. In this section we show that S may be written as a linear combination of supertrace functions $S_M(u,\tau)$ for $M \in P_h(g,V)$. We need the following proposition.

Proposition 9.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$ with Frobenius expansion (5.2).*

- *Let $j \in \{1,2,\dots b(p)\}$, then $C_{p,j,0}((\omega - \frac{\mathfrak{c}}{24} - \lambda_{p,j}) * u) = 0$ for all $u \in V^g$.*
- *Let $j \in \{1,2,\dots b(p-1)\}$, then $C_{p-1,j,0}((\omega - \frac{\mathfrak{c}}{24} - \lambda_{p-1,j})^2 * u) = 0$ for all $u \in V^g$.*

Proof. Recall equation (4.2) – the equivalent form of **CB4**. Equating coefficients of $\log^p q$ shows that (4.2) holds with $S_{p,j}$ in place of S, that is

$$(2\pi i)^2 q \frac{d}{dq} S_{p,j}(u,\tau) = -S_{p,j}(\mathrm{Res}_z\, P(z,q) L[z] u dz, \tau). \tag{9.1}$$

Let us equate coefficients of $q^{\lambda_{p,j}}$ in (9.1). The left hand side gives $(2\pi i)^2 \lambda_{pj} C_{p,j,0}(u)$, while the right hand side gives $C_{p,j,0}$ applied to

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i\, \mathrm{Res}_z \frac{e^{2\pi i z}}{e^{2\pi i z} - 1} L[z] u dz = {} & \mathrm{Res}_w\, w^{-1}(1+w)^{\Delta_\omega} Y((2\pi i)^2 \omega, w) u dw \\ & - (\mathfrak{c}/24)\, \mathrm{Res}_w\, w^{-1}(1+w)^{\Delta_{|0\rangle}} Y((2\pi i)^2 \left|0\right\rangle, w) u dw \\ = {} & (2\pi i)^2 (\omega - \mathfrak{c}/24) * u. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part.

Without loss of generality let $\lambda_{p,j} = \lambda_{p-1,j}$. Equating coefficients of $\log^{p-1} q$ in (4.2) yields

$$(2\pi i)^2 q \frac{d}{dq} S_{p-1,j}(u,\tau) = -S_{p-1,j}(\mathrm{Res}_z\, P(z,q) L[z] u dz, \tau) - p(2\pi i)^2 S_{p,j}(u,\tau). \tag{9.2}$$

Equating coefficients of $q^{\lambda_{p-1,j}}$ yields

$$C_{p-1,j,0}((\omega - \mathfrak{c}/24 - \lambda_{p-1,j}) * u) = p C_{p,j,0}(u).$$

This, together with the first part of the proposition, implies the second part. □

Let S have the expansion (5.2). Following Section 7 we have

$$C_{p,j,0}(u) = \sum_N \alpha_N T_N(u)$$

for some constants $\alpha_N \in \mathbb{C}$. The sum runs over the h-invariant irreducible $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$-modules N. Proposition 9.1 implies that α_N is nonzero only for N that satisfy $\omega|_N = \lambda_{p,j} + \mathfrak{c}/24$. Now consider

$$\sum_N \alpha_N S_{L(N)}(u,\tau) \in q^{\lambda_{p,j}} \mathbb{C}[[q^{1/|G|}]].$$

The coefficient of $q^{\lambda_{p,j}}$ is nothing but $C_{p,j,0}$. Therefore the series

$$S'(u,\tau) = S_{p,j}(u,\tau) - \sum_N \alpha_N S_{L(N)}(u,\tau)$$

has lowest power of q whose exponent is higher than $\lambda_{p,j}$. The coefficient of the lowest power of q in $S'(u,\tau)$ is h-supersymmetric, so we may write it as a linear combination of T_N as above. The modules N that occur must be different than the ones used in the first iteration because ω acts on these modules by some constant higher than $\lambda_{p,j} + \mathfrak{c}/24$. We subtract the corresponding $S_{L(N)}(u,\tau)$ as before and repeat. The process terminates because there are only finitely many irreducible $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$-modules. We obtain $S_{p,j}(u,\tau)$ as a linear combination of $S_M(u,\tau)$. It follows that $S_{p,j}(u,\tau) \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$.

We may repeat the argument above, using the second part of Proposition 9.1, to conclude that $S_{p-1,j}(u,\tau) \in \mathcal{C}(g,h)$ also. Hence $S_{p-1,j}$ satisfies (9.1) in addition to (9.2). Together these equations imply $p = 0$. Thus $S = \sum_j S_{p,j}$ is a linear combination of supertrace functions.

In summary we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 9.2. *Let V be a C_2-cofinite VOSA with rational conformal weights. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V. Suppose $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ is finite dimensional and semisimple for each $g \in G$. Now fix commuting $g, h \in G$. Let $A^1, \ldots A^s$ be the h-invariant simple components of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$, let N^i be the irreducible A^i-module, and let $M^i = L(N^i)$. The space $\mathcal{C}(g,h)$ of conformal blocks is spanned by the following supertrace functions.*

$$S_{M^i}(u,\tau) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{STr}_{M^i}\, u_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} & \text{if } A^i \text{ is of } \mathsf{Type\ I}, \text{ or is of } \mathsf{Type\ II} \text{ and } h(\xi) = -\xi, \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{M^i}\, u_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} & \text{if } A^i \text{ is of } \mathsf{Type\ II} \text{ and } h(\xi) = \xi, \end{cases}$$

where γ is as defined in Section 7 on N^i and then extended to M^i using equation (8.1).

Combining Theorems 9.2 and 4.1 yields Theorem 1.3.

10 The neutral free fermion VOSA

As a vector superspace, the neutral free fermion VOSA $V = F(\varphi)$ ([9], pg. 98) is the span of the monomials

$$\varphi_{n_1} \cdots \varphi_{n_s} |0\rangle ,$$

where $n_i \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$, $n_1 < \ldots < n_s < 0$, and the monomial has parity $s \bmod 2$. The VOSA structure is generated by the single odd field

$$Y(\varphi, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{(n)} z^{-n-1} = \sum_{n \in 1/2+\mathbb{Z}} \varphi_n z^{-n-1/2}.$$

The action of the modes on V is by left multiplication, subject to the relations $\varphi_n |0\rangle = 0$ for $n > 0$ and the commutation relation

$$\varphi_m \varphi_n + \varphi_n \varphi_m = \delta_{m,-n} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad [Y(\varphi,z), Y(\varphi,w)] = \delta(z,w).$$

The Virasoro vector is

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{-3/2}\varphi_{-1/2} |0\rangle .$$

The element $\varphi = \varphi_{-1/2} |0\rangle$ has conformal weight $1/2$, and the central charge of V is $\mathfrak{c} = 1/2$. This VOSA is C_2-cofinite.

Let $G = \{1, \sigma_V\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In this section we explicitly compute the conformal blocks $\mathcal{C}(g, h; u)$ for $u = |0\rangle$ and $u = \varphi$. To do so we determine the g-twisted Zhu algebra and its modules, look up the corresponding h-supersymmetric functions in the table of Section 7, and extend these to supertrace functions using equation (8.1).

Let $g = \sigma_V$. We have $\epsilon_\varphi = -1/2$ and

$$\varphi \circ_n v = \operatorname{Res}_w w^n (1+w)^{1/2-1/2} Y(\varphi, w) v dw = \varphi_{(n)} v$$

(Definitions 2.2 and 6.1). Therefore J_{σ_V} contains all monomials except $|0\rangle$, hence $\mathrm{Zhu}_{\sigma_V}(V)$ is either $\mathbb{C}|0\rangle$ or 0. Note that the adjoint module V is a positive energy σ_V-twisted V-module, therefore $\mathrm{Zhu}_{\sigma_V}(V) = \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$. The unique irreducible $\mathrm{Zhu}_{\sigma_V}(V)$-module is $N = \mathbb{C}$ and the corresponding σ_V-twisted V-module is $L(N) = V$.

Let $h = 1$. Then we can take $\gamma = 1$ and $\mathcal{C}(\sigma_V, 1; u)$ is spanned by

$$\mathrm{STr}_V\, u_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}.$$

Let $h = \sigma_V$ now, which acts on $\mathrm{Zhu}_{\sigma_V}(V)$ as the identity. Again $\gamma = 1$, but its extension to V according to equation (8.1) is now σ_V rather than the identity. $\mathcal{C}(\sigma_V, \sigma_V; u)$ is spanned by

$$\mathrm{STr}_V\, u_0 \sigma_V q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} = \mathrm{Tr}_V\, u_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}.$$

Let $g = 1$. We have

$$\varphi \circ_n v = \operatorname{Res}_w w^n (1+w)^{1/2} Y(\varphi, w) v dw,$$

so in $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V)$ any mode $\varphi_{(n)}$ for $n \leq -2$ is a linear combination of modes $\varphi_{(k)}$ for $k > n$. Hence $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}|0\rangle + \mathbb{C}\varphi$. In fact $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V) = \mathbb{C}|0\rangle + \mathbb{C}\varphi$, we prove it below by exhibiting an irreducible positive energy 1-twisted V-module M such that M_0 is 2 dimensional. The unit element of $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V)$ is $|0\rangle$ and we readily compute that $\varphi * \varphi = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle$. Therefore $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V) \cong \mathbb{C}[\xi]/(u^2 = 1)$, where 1 is the image of $|0\rangle$ and ξ is the image of $\sqrt{2}\varphi$.

We construct M as follows: it has basis

$$\varphi^M_{n_1} \cdots \varphi^M_{n_s} 1$$

where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n_1 < \ldots < n_s \leq 0$ and the parity of this monomial is s mod 2. The modes of the field

$$Y^M(\varphi, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^M_n z^{-n-1}$$

satisfy $\varphi^M_n |0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\varphi^M_m \varphi^M_n + \varphi^M_n \varphi^M_m = \delta_{m,-n}$. Note that $M_0 = \mathbb{C}1 + \mathbb{C}\varphi^M_0 1$. The unique irreducible $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V)$-module is $N = M_0$ and the corresponding 1-twisted V-module is $L(N) = M$.

Let $h = 1$. According to the table of Section 7 we have $\gamma = \xi$ and the space of h-supersymmetric functions is spanned by $u \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_N\, u\xi$. The extension of γ to M is

$$\gamma : \varphi^M_{n_1} \cdots \varphi^M_{n_s} 1 \mapsto \sqrt{2}\varphi^M_{n_1} \cdots \varphi^M_{n_s}\varphi^M_0 1$$

and $\mathcal{C}(1, 1; u)$ is spanned by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_M\, u^M_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}.$$

Let $h = \sigma_V$. In this case $h|_{N_0} = \mathrm{id}_{N_0}$ so $\gamma = \sigma_N$. When extended to M we have $\gamma = \sigma_M$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}(1, \sigma_V; u)$ is spanned by

$$\mathrm{STr}_M\, u^M_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24} = \mathrm{Tr}_M\, u^M_0 q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}.$$

10.1 Conformal blocks in weights 0 and 1/2

Recall the Dedekind eta function defined by (3.4). Applying some basic combinatorics to the formulas above shows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{C}(\sigma_V, 1; |0\rangle) \text{ is spanned by } q^{-1/48}\,\mathrm{SCh}(V) = q^{-1/48}\prod_{n\geq 0}(1 - q^{n+1/2}) = \frac{\eta(\tau/2)}{\eta(\tau)},\\
&\mathcal{C}(\sigma_V, \sigma_V; |0\rangle) \text{ is spanned by } q^{-1/48}\,\mathrm{Ch}(V) = q^{-1/48}\prod_{n\geq 0}(1 + q^{n+1/2}) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^2}{\eta(2\tau)\eta(\tau/2)},\\
&\mathcal{C}(1, 1; |0\rangle) = 0,\\
&\text{and } \mathcal{C}(1, \sigma_V; |0\rangle) \text{ is spanned by } q^{-1/48}q^{1/16}\,\mathrm{Ch}(M) = q^{-1/24}\prod_{n\geq 0}(1 + q^n) = 2\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The third of these holds because the space is spanned by the trace of an odd linear map. In the fourth we have used $L_0|_{M_0} = 1/16$, which can be seen after a direct computation with the Borcherds identity.

Theorem 1.3 implies that if $f(\tau) \in \mathcal{C}(g, h; |0\rangle)$ then $f(A\tau) \in \mathcal{C}((g, h) \cdot A; |0\rangle)$ for all $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This may be verified directly for the generators $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $S = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ using the explicit forms above together with Proposition 3.2.

Since $L_{[0]}\varphi = L_0\varphi$, we have $\nabla_\varphi = \Delta_\varphi = 1/2$. Note that if $(g, h) \neq (1, 1)$ then $\mathcal{C}(g, h; \varphi) = 0$ because it is spanned by the (super)trace of an odd linear operator. For $g = h = 1$ we have

$$[\varphi^M_0 \gamma] : \varphi^M_{n_1} \cdots \varphi^M_{n_s} 1 \mapsto \sqrt{2}\varphi^M_0 \varphi^M_{n_1} \cdots \varphi^M_{n_s}\varphi^M_0 1 = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\varphi^M_{n_1} \cdots \varphi^M_{n_s} 1$$

where $\epsilon = (-1)^{s-1}$ if $n_s = 0$ and $(-1)^s$ otherwise. Therefore

$$\mathcal{C}(1, 1; \varphi) \text{ is spanned by } q^{-1/48}q^{1/16}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - q^n) = \eta(\tau),$$

which is a weight 1/2 modular form in accordance with Theorem 1.3.

11 The charged free fermions VOSA with real conformal weights

As a vector superspace, the charged free fermions VOSA $V = F^a_{\mathrm{ch}}(\psi, \psi^*)$ ([9], pg. 98) is the span of the monomials

$$\psi_{(i_1)}\psi_{(i_2)} \cdots \psi_{(i_m)}\psi^*_{(j_1)}\psi^*_{(j_2)} \cdots \psi^*_{(j_n)} \left|0\right\rangle, \tag{11.1}$$

where $i_r, j_s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i_1 < \ldots < i_m \leq -1$, $j_1 < \ldots < j_n \leq -1$, and the parity of the monomial is $(m + n) \bmod 2$. The VOSA structure is generated by the two odd fields

$$Y(\psi, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_{(n)} z^{-n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad Y(\psi^*, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi^*_{(n)} z^{-n-1}.$$

The action of the modes on V is by left multiplication, subject to the relations $\psi_{(n)} \left|0\right\rangle = \psi^*_{(n)} \left|0\right\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 0$, and the commutation relations

$$[\psi_{(m)}, \psi^*_{(n)}] = \psi_{(m)}\psi^*_{(n)} + \psi^*_{(n)}\psi_{(m)} = \delta_{m+n,-1} \Longleftrightarrow [Y(\psi, z), Y(\psi^*, w)] = \delta(z, w). \tag{11.2}$$

All other commutators vanish.

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We define a Virasoro vector ([9], pg. 102)

$$\omega^a = a\left(\psi_{(-2)}\psi^*_{(-1)} \left|0\right\rangle\right) + (1 - a)\left(\psi^*_{(-2)}\psi_{(-1)} \left|0\right\rangle\right). \tag{11.3}$$

We write $L^a(z) = Y(\omega^a, z)$. With respect to this choice of Virasoro vector we have

$$\Delta_\psi = 1 - a \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\psi^*} = a.$$

The central charge of V is $\mathfrak{c} = -2(6a^2 - 6a + 1)$. This VOSA is C_2-cofinite.

11.1 Twisted modules

Fix $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of unit modulus and let the automorphisms g and h of V be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(\psi) &= \mu^{-1}\psi, \quad g(\psi^*) = \mu\psi^*, \\ h(\psi) &= \lambda^{-1}\psi, \quad h(\psi^*) = \lambda\psi^*, \end{aligned}$$

extended to all n^{th} products (note that the Virasoro element is indeed fixed by g and h). Let $\mu = e^{2\pi i\delta}$ and $\lambda = e^{2\pi i\rho}$ where $\delta, \rho \in [0, 1)$, we do not require these to be rational numbers. Hence g and h need not have finite order.

A g-twisted V-module M will have fields

$$Y^M(\psi, z) = \sum_{n\in-[\delta]} \psi^M_n z^{-n-(1-a)} \quad \text{and} \quad Y^M(\psi^*, z) = \sum_{n\in[\delta]} \psi^{*M}_n z^{-n-a},$$

and the modes must satisfy

$$[\psi^M_m, \psi^{*M}_n] = \psi^M_m \psi^{*M}_n + \psi^{*M}_n \psi^M_m = \delta_{m+n,0}. \tag{11.4}$$

Let us put $M = V$, $\psi^M(z) = z^{-x}\psi(z)$ and $\psi^{*M}(z) = z^x \psi^*(z)$ where $x \in -[\delta] - [a]$. We may easily confirm that

$$\psi^M_n = \psi_{(n-a-x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{*M}_n = \psi^*_{(n-1+a+x)}$$

have the correct commutation relations (11.4). Therefore $M = M^{(g)} = V$ is given the structure of a positive energy g-twisted V-module, as long as we choose x so that ψ^M_n and ψ^{*M}_n annihilate $|0\rangle$ for $n > 0$. This leads to the requirement $0 \leq a + x \leq 1$.

For $g \neq 1$ this requirement fixes x uniquely and so $M \in P(g, V)$ (it is clear that M is irreducible). Notice that $a + x = 1 - \delta$.

If $g = 1$ then $\delta = 0$, we have the choice of putting $x = 1 - a$ or $x = -a$ and we get a module in $P(1, V)$ either way. In the first case $\psi^M_n = \psi_{(n-1)}$ and $\psi^{*M}_n = \psi^*_{(n)}$, so $M_0 = \mathbb{C}\,|0\rangle + \mathbb{C}\psi$. In the second case $M_0 = \mathbb{C}\,|0\rangle + \mathbb{C}\psi^*$ and $f : M_0 \to M_0$ defined by $f(|0\rangle) = \psi^*$ and $f(\psi) = |0\rangle$ lifts to an equivalence from the first to the second module. It is convenient to take the first model of the 1-twisted module, since then in all cases we have $a + x = 1 - \delta$.

11.2 Zhu algebras

Let $g \neq 1$. If $\epsilon_v + \epsilon_\psi = -1$ then

$$\psi \circ_n v = \operatorname{Res}_w w^n (1+w)^{\Delta_\psi + \epsilon_\psi} Y(\psi, z) v dw \in J_g.$$

It is possible to write $\psi_{(n)}v$ as a linear combination of $\psi_{(k)}v$ for $k > n$ whenever $n \leq -1$. The same goes for ψ^*. Iterating this procedure reveals that $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}\,|0\rangle$. The existence of the positive energy g-twisted V-module exhibited above shows that $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V) = \mathbb{C}\,|0\rangle$.

Let $g = 1$. The same argument as above holds but with $n \leq -2$. Thus $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{C}\,|0\rangle + \mathbb{C}\psi + \mathbb{C}\psi^* + \mathbb{C}\psi_{(-1)}\psi^*$. Calculating the products of these four elements reveals that their span is isomorphic to $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})$ via $\psi \mapsto E_{21}$, $\psi^* \mapsto E_{12}$ and $\psi_{(-1)}\psi^* \mapsto (a-1)E_{11} + aE_{22}$. Since we have constructed an irreducible positive energy 1-twisted V-module we have $\mathrm{Zhu}_1(V) \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^{1|1})$.

Note that Theorem 1.3 has nothing to say unless g, h have finite order and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is chosen to lie in $\mathbb{Q}$. Even so, we can press on and write down supertrace functions. We have seen that the Zhu algebra is always of Type I, so there is a single supertrace function associated to (g, h), which is

$$\mathrm{STr}_M\, u^M_0 \gamma q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24},$$

where M is the unique module from $P(g, V)$. But what is γ? For $g \neq 1$ the automorphism h restricts to the identity on $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$, therefore equation (8.1) gives $\gamma = h^{-1}$. From the construction of $M^{(1)}$ we see that $\gamma = h^{-1}$ in the $g = 1$ case too. Next we restrict attention to $u = |0\rangle$ and write

$$\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tau) := \mathrm{STr}_M \, h^{-1} q^{L_0 - \mathfrak{c}/24}$$

for brevity. Next we will express the twisted supercharacters $\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tau)$ in terms of Jacobi theta functions and derive modular transformations.

11.3 Twisted Supercharacters

The *conformal weight* $h = h(M)$ of an irreducible V-module M is defined to be the eigenvalue of L_0^M on the lowest graded piece of M. We use the Borcherds identity to compute $h(M)$ for the twisted V-modules M defined above.

Put $u = \psi$, $v = \psi^*$, so that $[\epsilon_u] = -[\delta]$ and $[\epsilon_v] = [\delta]$ in the Borcherds identity, (2.1). Let $m = -\delta$, $k = \delta$ and denote by LHS(n) the left hand side of (2.1) with these choices of u, v, m, and k. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{LHS}(-1) = (\psi_{(-1)}\psi^*)_0^M - (\delta + a)(\psi_{(0)}\psi^*)_0^M \\ \text{and} \quad &\mathrm{LHS}(-2) = (\psi_{(-2)}\psi^*)_0^M - (\delta + a)(\psi_{(-1)}\psi^*)_0^M + \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta + a)(\delta + a + 1)(\psi_{(0)}\psi^*)_0^M. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging and using $\psi_{(0)}\psi^* = |0\rangle$ yields

$$(\psi_{(-2)}\psi^*)_0 = \mathrm{LHS}(-2) + (\delta + a)\,\mathrm{LHS}(-1) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta + a)(\delta + a - 1).$$

The corresponding right hand side of (2.1) is

$$\mathrm{RHS}(n) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \left[\psi_{-\delta+n-j}\psi^*_{\delta+j-n} + (-1)^n \psi^*_{\delta-j}\psi_{-\delta+j}\right].$$

If we apply this to $|0\rangle$, then the first term vanishes and the second term equals $(-1)^n$. Therefore, when applied to $|0\rangle$,

$$(\psi_{(-2)}\psi^*)_0 = 1 - (\delta + a) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta + a)(\delta + a - 1).$$

A similar calculation shows that, when applied to $|0\rangle$,

$$(\psi^*_{(-2)}\psi)_0 = -(\delta + a) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta + a)(\delta + a + 1).$$

Combining these with (11.3) yields

$$h(M) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta - a)(\delta + a - 1) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[x(x-1) + 2ax\right] \tag{11.5}$$

(having also used $a + x = 1 - \delta$).

Let $\phi(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - q^n)$, so that $\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24}\phi(\tau)$.

Applying $\psi_{(-n)} = \psi^M_{-n+a+x}$ to a monomial in V raises its L_0-eigenvalue by $n - a - x = n - (1 - \delta)$. Similarly $\psi^*_{(-n)} = \psi^{*M}_{-n+1-a-x}$ raises the eigenvalue by $n - \delta$. We have

$$\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tau) = q^{h(M)-\mathfrak{c}/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - \lambda q^{n-(1-\delta)}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - \lambda^{-1} q^{n-\delta}).$$

The first product is the contribution of the ψ terms, the second is that of the ψ^* terms. Note that when $g = h = 1$ the supercharacter vanishes.

Recall the Jacobi triple product identity:

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1 - z^{2m})(1 + z^{2m-1}y^2)(1 + z^{2m-1}y^{-2}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^{n^2} y^{2n}.$$

Set $z = q^{1/2}$, and $y^2 = -\lambda q^{\delta - 1/2}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tau) &= \frac{q^{h(M)-\mathfrak{c}/24}}{\phi(q)} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-\lambda)^n q^{n^2/2 + (\delta - 1/2)n} \\ &= \frac{e^{2\pi i[h(M)-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24]\tau}}{\eta(\tau)} \theta((\delta - \tfrac{1}{2})\tau + (\rho - \tfrac{1}{2}); \tau)\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(z;\tau)$ is the Jacobi theta function defined by (3.5).

11.4 Modular transformations

Let $A = \delta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $B = \rho - \frac{1}{2}$. Using Proposition 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tau + 1) &= \frac{e^{2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24](\tau+1)}}{\eta(\tau+1)} \theta(A(\tau+1) + B; \tau + 1) \\ &= e^{2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24]} \frac{e^{2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24]\tau}}{e^{\pi i/12}\eta(\tau)} \theta(A\tau + (B + A + \tfrac{1}{2}); \tau) \\ &= e^{2\pi i[h-\mathfrak{c}/24]} \frac{e^{2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24]\tau}}{\eta(\tau)} \theta((\delta - \tfrac{1}{2})\tau + (\delta + \rho - \tfrac{1}{2}); \tau).\end{aligned}$$

This is proportional to $\chi_{\mu,\lambda\mu}(\tau)$ the $\lambda\mu$-twisted supercharacter of the irreducible μ-twisted V-module.

Using Proposition 3.3 again we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(-1/\tau) &= \frac{e^{-2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24]/\tau}}{\eta(-1/\tau)} \theta((B\tau - A)/\tau; -1/\tau) \\ &= \frac{e^{-2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24]/\tau}}{(-i\tau)^{1/2}\eta(\tau)} (-i\tau)^{1/2} e^{\pi i(B\tau - A)^2/\tau} \theta(B\tau - A; \tau) \\ &= e^{-2\pi i AB} e^{-2\pi i[h-(\mathfrak{c}-1)/24 - A^2/2]/\tau} \frac{e^{2\pi i[B^2/2]\tau}}{\eta(\tau)} \theta(B\tau - A; \tau).\end{aligned}$$

Recall the formula for $\mathfrak{c}$ in terms of a, we use it to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{24}(\mathfrak{c}-1)+\tfrac{1}{2}A^2 &= \tfrac{1}{8}(-4a^2+4a-1)+\tfrac{1}{2}(\delta-\tfrac{1}{2})^2\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta-\tfrac{1}{2})^2-\tfrac{1}{2}(a-\tfrac{1}{2})^2\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\delta-a)(\delta+a-1)=h(M).\end{aligned} \tag{11.6}$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(-1/\tau)=e^{-2\pi iAB}\frac{e^{2\pi i[B^2/2]\tau}}{\eta(\tau)}\theta(B\tau-A;\tau).$$

But by calculation (11.6) again, $\frac{1}{2}B^2=h(M')-\frac{1}{24}(\mathfrak{c}-1)$ where M' is the irreducible λ-twisted V-module. Therefore $\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(-1/\tau)$ is proportional to $\chi_{\lambda,\mu^{-1}}(\tau)$ the μ^{-1}-twisted supercharacter of the irreducible positive energy λ-twisted V-module.

In summary $\chi_{1,1}=0$ and $\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(A\tau)\propto\chi_{(\mu,\lambda)\cdot A}(\tau)$ for $(g,h)\neq(1,1)$. If $a\in\mathbb{Q}$ our VOSA has rational conformal weights, if μ and λ are roots of unity then g,h lie in some finite group of automorphisms of V. In this case we may apply Theorem 1.3 and it confirms the modular transformations of the $\chi_{\mu,\lambda}(\tau)$ computed above. Note that the direct computation holds for all $a\in\mathbb{R}$ and g,h of unit modulus.

12 VOSAs associated to integral lattices

Let $(Q,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ be a rank r integral lattice with positive definite bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{h}=Q\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}$ with the induced positive definite bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. The *loop algebra* $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}=\mathfrak{h}[t^{\pm1}]$ is equipped with a Lie bracket as follows:

$$[ht^m,h't^n]=m\,\langle h,h'\rangle\,\delta_{m,-n}.$$

Let $S_-(\mathfrak{h})=U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})/U(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}})\mathfrak{h}[t]$. We write h_m for ht^m. Explicitly $S_-(\mathfrak{h})$ has a basis of monomials

$$h^1_{n_1}\cdots h^s_{n_s}1$$

where the h^i range over a basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $n_1\leq\ldots n_s\leq-1$ are integers.

The *twisted group algebra* $\mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]$ of Q is a unital associative algebra with basis $\{e^\alpha|\alpha\in Q\}$, unit element $1=e^0$, and multiplication $e^\alpha e^\beta=\epsilon(\alpha,\beta)e^{\alpha+\beta}$ where the function $\epsilon:Q\times Q\to\{\pm1\}$ has been chosen to satisfy

- $\epsilon(0,a)=\epsilon(a,0)=1$ for all $a\in Q$,
- $\epsilon(a,b)\epsilon(a+b,c)=\epsilon(a,b+c)\epsilon(b,c)$ for all $a,b,c\in Q$,
- $\epsilon(a,b)=\epsilon(b,a)(-1)^{\langle a,b\rangle+\langle a,a\rangle\langle b,b\rangle}$ for all $a,b\in Q$.

It may be shown that such ϵ exists.

Associated to this data there is a VOSA ([9], pg. 148).

Definition 12.1. The lattice VOSA $(V_Q, |0\rangle, Y, \omega)$ associated to Q is defined to be $V_Q = S_-(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]$ as a vector superspace, where the parity of $s \otimes e^\alpha$ is $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ mod 2. The vacuum vector is $|0\rangle = 1 \otimes 1$. Let $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha \in Q$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $h_n : V_Q \to V_Q$ by

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(s \otimes e^\alpha) &= (h_n s) \otimes e^\alpha \quad \text{for } n < 0, \\ h_n(1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0, \\ h_0(1 \otimes e^\alpha) &= \langle h, \alpha \rangle \, 1 \otimes e^\alpha, \\ \text{and} \quad [h_m, h'_n] &= m \langle h, h' \rangle \, \delta_{m,-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $h(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-n-1}$ and

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z) = e^\alpha z^{\alpha_0} \exp(-\sum_{j<0} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} \alpha_j) \exp(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} \alpha_j),$$

where by definition $e^\alpha(s \otimes e^\beta) = \epsilon(\alpha, \beta)(s \otimes e^{\alpha+\beta})$. The state-field correspondence is given by $Y(h \otimes 1, z) = h(z)$, $Y(1 \otimes e^\alpha, z) = \Gamma_\alpha(z)$, and is extended to all of V_Q by normally ordered products, i.e.,

$$Y(h_n a, w) = \mathrm{Res}_z \left[h(z) Y(u, w) i_{z,w}(z-w)^n - Y(u, w) h(z) i_{w,z}(z-w)^n \right] dz.$$

Finally, the Virasoro vector is

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r} a^i_{(-1)} b^i_{(-1)} |0\rangle$$

where $\{a^i\}$ and $\{b^i\}$ are bases of $\mathfrak{h}$ dual under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e., $\langle a^i, b^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

Some commutators between the generating fields are

$$\begin{aligned} [h(z), h'(w)] &= \langle h, h' \rangle \, \partial_w \delta(z, w), \\ [h(z), \Gamma_\alpha(w)] &= \langle \alpha, h \rangle \, \Gamma_\alpha(w) \delta(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

There is an explicit expression for $[\Gamma_\alpha(z), \Gamma_\beta(w)]$ which we will not require. The conformal weight of $h = h_{-1} |0\rangle \in S_-(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes 1$ is 1, the conformal weight of $1 \otimes e^\alpha$ is $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2$. The central charge of V equals the rank r of Q. The lattice VOSAs are known to be C_2-cofinite.

12.1 Irreducible modules and their (super)characters

Let $G = \{1, \sigma_V\}$. It is explained in [9] that V_Q is σ_V-rational. If Q is an even lattice, i.e., $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in Q$, then V_Q is purely even and so $\sigma_V = 1$. We focus on the case Q is not even. The same proof as in [9] shows that V_Q is also 1-rational.

Let $Q^\circ \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ be the lattice dual to Q and let $\delta \in Q^\circ$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} Y^\delta(h, z) &= h(z) + \langle \delta, h \rangle \, z^{-1}, \\ Y^\delta(e^\alpha, z) &= z^{\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle} \Gamma_\alpha(z). \end{aligned} \tag{12.1}$$

Under this modified state-field correspondence V acquires the structure of a positive energy σ_V-twisted V-module (see [12] Section 5). The V-module structure depends on δ only through $\delta + Q$. Via this construction the cosets of Q° modulo Q are in bijection with the irreducible positive energy (σ_V-twisted) V_Q-modules [9]. The Virasoro field acts on (V, Y^δ) as

$$L^\delta(z) = L(z) + \frac{1}{2} z^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\left\langle \delta, b^i \right\rangle a^i(z) + \left\langle \delta, a^i \right\rangle b^i(z) \right] + \frac{\langle \delta, \delta \rangle}{2} z^{-2}.$$

From this we see that the L_0^δ-eigenvalue of $1 \otimes e^\alpha$ is $\langle \alpha + \delta, \alpha + \delta \rangle / 2$. The L_0^δ-eigenvalue of $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ is 1 as before.

In a similar way the irreducible positive energy 1-twisted V-modules are exactly (V, Y^ρ) (defined as in (12.1)) but for $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying

$$\langle 2\rho, \alpha \rangle \equiv \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \pmod 2 \tag{12.2}$$

for every $\alpha \in Q$.

Let $\{a^i\}$ be a basis of Q and let $p(a^i)$ denote the parity of $\langle a^i, a^i \rangle$. Let $\{b^i\}$ be the basis of Q° dual to $\{a^i\}$, and let

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p(a^i)=1} b^i + \sum_{p(a^i)=0} b^i.$$

Clearly ρ satisfies equation (12.2) for $\alpha \in \{a^i\}$. Now let $\alpha = \sum_i k_i a^i$ where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\langle 2\rho, \alpha \rangle = \sum_i k_i \left\langle 2\rho, a^i \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \sum_{i,j} k_i k_j \left\langle a^i, a^j \right\rangle$$

but

$$\sum_{i,j} k_i k_j \left\langle a^i, a^j \right\rangle \equiv \sum_i k_i^2 \left\langle a^i, a^i \right\rangle \equiv \sum_i k_i \left\langle a^i, a^i \right\rangle \equiv \sum_i k_i \left\langle 2\rho, a^i \right\rangle \pmod 2,$$

so ρ satisfies (12.2) for all $\alpha \in Q$. Let $Q^\bullet$ be the set of all elements of $\mathfrak{h}$ satisfying (12.2) for all $\alpha \in Q$. If Q is an even lattice then V_Q is a VOA rather than a VOSA, $\sigma_V = 1$, and $Q^\bullet = Q^\circ$. If Q is integral but not even then $Q^\circ \cup Q^\bullet$ is a lattice containing Q° as an index 2 sublattice.

As noted in the introduction, all components of $\mathrm{Zhu}_g(V)$ are h-invariant for each $h \in G$ and $\gamma = h$. The space $\mathcal{C}(g, h; |0\rangle)$ is spanned by $\mathrm{STr}_M \, h q^{L_0^\delta - \mathfrak{c}/24}$ where M ranges over $P(g, V)$.

The bosonic part $S_-(\mathfrak{h})$ of the tensor product $S_-(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]$ is purely even and

$$q^{-\mathfrak{c}/24} \, \mathrm{Tr}_{S_-(\mathfrak{h})} \, q^{L_0} = \frac{q^{-r/24}}{\phi(\tau)^r} = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^r}.$$

To determine the contribution of $\mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]$ we introduce

$$\Theta_{\delta,Q}(q) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]} \, q^{L_0^\delta} = \sum_{\alpha \in Q} e^{\pi i \tau \langle \alpha + \delta, \alpha + \delta \rangle}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \Pi_{\delta,Q}(q) = \mathrm{STr}_{\mathbb{C}_\epsilon[Q]} \, q^{L_0^\delta} = \sum_{\alpha \in Q} e^{\pi i \tau \langle \alpha + \delta, \alpha + \delta \rangle} e^{\pi i \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}.$$

We see that

$$\mathcal{C}(g,\sigma_V;|0\rangle) \text{ is spanned by } \frac{\Theta_{\delta,Q}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^r}, \text{ and } \mathcal{C}(g,1;|0\rangle) \text{ is spanned by } \frac{\Pi_{\delta,Q}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^r},$$

where in each case δ ranges over Q°/Q (resp. $Q^\bullet/Q$) if $g=\sigma_V$ (resp. $g=1$).

The transformation

$$\sum_{\alpha\in Q} e^{-i\pi\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle/\tau} = (\operatorname{disc} Q)^{-1/2}(-i\tau)^{r/2}\sum_{\beta\in Q^\circ} e^{i\pi\tau\langle\beta,\beta\rangle}$$

of the usual lattice theta function under $\tau\mapsto -1/\tau$ is proved using Poisson summation [7] (here $\operatorname{disc} Q$ is the discriminant, defined to be the determinant of the Gram matrix of an integral basis of Q). Using the same method, the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ transformations of Θ and Π may be deduced. The results confirm the conclusion from Theorem 1.3, that $\mathcal{C}(1,1;|0\rangle)$ is a modular invariant family of weight 0, as is the direct sum of the other three spaces $\mathcal{C}(1,\sigma_V;|0\rangle)\oplus\mathcal{C}(\sigma_V,1;|0\rangle)\oplus\mathcal{C}(\sigma_V,\sigma_V;|0\rangle)$.

References

[1] R. Borcherds, "Vertex Algebras, Kac-Moody Algebras, and the Monster", Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **83** (1986), no. 10, 3068-3071.

[2] A. De Sole, V. G. Kac, "Finite vs affine W-algebras", Jpn. J. Math. **1** (2006) 137-261.

[3] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, "Modular-Invariance of Trace Functions in Orbifold Theory and Generalized Moonshine", Commun. Math. Phys. **214** (2000) 1-56.

[4] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, "Vertex Operator Algebras Associated to Admissible Representations of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$", Comm. Math. Phys. **184** (1997), no. 1, 65-93.

[5] C. Dong, Z. Zhao, "Modularity in Orbifold Theory for Vertex Operator Superalgebras", Comm. Math. Phys. **260** (2005) 227-256.

[6] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, "Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster", Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

[7] J. Igusa, *Theta Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1972.

[8] V. Kac, "Lie Superalgebras", Advances in Math. **26** (1977) no. 1, 8-96.

[9] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, AMS, University Lecture Notes, Vol. 10, 2nd ed., Providence, RI, 1998.

[10] V. Kac, D. Peterson, "Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras, Theta Functions and Modular Forms", Adv. in Math. **53** (1984) 125-264.

[11] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 112, 2nd ed., New York, NY, 1987.

[12] H. Li, "Local Systems of Twisted Vertex Operators, Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Twisted Modules" in *Moonshine, the Monster, and Related Topics*, Contemp. Math., **193**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1996) 203-236.

[13] E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 4th ed., Cambridge, 1996.

[14] Y. Zhu, "Modular Invariance of Characters of Vertex Operator Algebras", J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996) 237-301.